Question Report Report Ger Feb 08, 2023 3:03 PM Topic Webinar ID Healthy W: 829 3026 0060

28 How many fish barriers in the Maribrnong stil need ot be removed?

Actual Start Time Feb 08, 2023 11:30 AM

Thanks for your questions Helen. The HWS mid-term review is in progress and this is a good opportunity for new and emerging issues to be flagged. So please feel free to contact us regarding this. However, the Strategy does have flural land targets to require untient and sediment run off. We also have performance objectives to address no net increase in sevage treatment plant discharge to waterways. I am also aware that there are guidelines for the use of recycled water as irrigation though if musure how adequately they protect acids cisils. Saility is a major concern for irrigated ropp thoughs or in sure that salinity it recycled water is part of the guidelines. If any other panelist has better knowledge of these guidelines please make comment, this part of theirob, question. We will look forward to hearing from you lelled. Great question, April, and something we are working hard on and adapting as we go. We tried to 'do the doing' of alignment carross delivery partners and tracking and reporting at five basis catchments scale, but it was really hard to get traction on specific projects / progress specific opportunities at that scale. We are working on collaboration and engagement approaches that are a bit of a dighter scale, e.g. 2-3 connected sub-catchments, that we are trialling this year. If you have any good ideas too, please feed them through! How can we have a dialogue regarding the emerging issues that are not addressed in the RHS- but in the condition of our waterways andhow will we address those concerns Eg high nutrient loads from run off and a high salt content in water discharged / recycled from sewage treatment plants- which if sprayed on our western sodic solis will turn them hydrophobic with disastrous consequences. Helen van den Berg Mathrylmong This strategy had a fantastic co-design approach with 660 people from 220 orgs, and as Cherly notes many groups have obligations towards healthy waterways. What is the intention to re-convene these groups toward the genuine participation?

This strategy had a fantastic co-design approach with 660 people from 220 orgs, and as Cherly notes many groups have obligations towards healthy waterways. What is the intention to re-convene these groups That answer from Trent BTW - all my responses are showing as coming from our gracious tech host Gail:) The HWS stormwater targets align with the new GED flow guidance – which is great. The catchment HWM plans as part of the integrated Water Management forum are also aligned with the GED flow standards. There are many initiatives underway which will get us close for the consuring the new flow standards for stormwater are met; particularly in new unban areas, for earlier MUSI guidelines are being updated so that t restiment systems are appropriately designed. Local government are starting to require additional systems like passive watered street trees which helps additive the Infiltration targets under the GED and MW are planning works in the stormwater priority areast through a capital investment program. However, there is still a lot of How does the General Environmental Duty under the new EP Act 2017 impact the implementation / targets 3 of the HWS? work to go to ensure all development meets the new standards. For example at the moment developer services schemes are not designed to the meet the new standards. Hi April, the General Environmental Duty (GED), is at the centre of the Environment Protection Act 2017 and applies to all Victorians. The GED requires a person who is engaging in an activity that may give rise to risks of harm to human health or the environment from pollution or waste to minimise those risks, so far as reasonably practicable. All businesses/organisations must manage their risks under the general environmental duty. How does the General Environmental Duty under the new EP Act 2017 impact the implemer 3 of the HWS? How can Melbourne Water plan to duplicate the Head St. Stormwater Diversion Drain in Elwood adding further pollution to the Bay contrary to CSIRO reports on Port Phillip Bay and Best Storm Water Practice, and Planning Amendment VC 1542 There are wonderful upstream options for floor dratarding + Bioretention that have been neglected. Does your business case factor in how many extra days our beaches will be closed as a direct result of this sort of harmful engineering? Why is Melbourne Water ignoring best 4 practice in the Elser Creek Catchment?

Shouldn't there be community representation on the RLG to fulfill the promises of government across 5 strategies to engage community in waterway management?

6 John Forrester WRK link is not wokring hes been tryng for 15 minutes! Hi Isaac, Thanks for bringing this up again in this forum. I understand that you have been discussing this project via the Elster Creek Collaboration and the Diversion Drain project team, this is the best place to continue these discussions. Hi Andrew, Great question., thank you. The RLG is looking at how we bring in others to the RLG meaningfully. I will raise this in the next meeting with the RLG. Andrew Kelly Helen van den Berg the next meeting with rise now.

When amovered thanks for the Qurest. We are working at many levels with the retailers on environmental opportunities, and through it What forums, but certainly might be more opportunities to collaborate. The RLG doesn't have every partner represented are collaborating with heaps more partners than are represented on the RLG!—but there absolutely might be benefit, epir if there are big tacks touser of portunities that realizes have a lead part in debrening and aren't propressing as well as we. Water retailers are not represented but their role is expected in the projects and activities aimed at improving the health of the waterways. It is even more important now with the broad umbrella of integrated water management covering all elements of water cycle for the holistic outcome for the Suresh (SEW) There are a number of different ways to split the catchments across the region. The Mornington Peninsula is kept together as a single area, rather than splitting into three areas based on the True flow of catchments (e.g., waterways flowing to Western port waterways flowing to Port Phillip Bay and waterways flowing to Bass Strait). Whilst its included with the Westernport region in the Healthy Waterways Strategy catchment Plan, we have dedicated staff focusing solely on implementing the Healthy Waterways Strategy on the Mornington Peninsula. These staff have a great understanding of the distinctive characteristics of the waterways and catchments of the Mornington Peninsula. Would it be be better to separate the Mornington Peninsula from the Westernport region as its water 8 flow into both bays and the peninsula issues are distinctively different to Gippsland? Anonymous Attendee Trish Grant The issue of protecting natural wetlands is a priority issue and focus area for the Region-wide Leadership Group (RLG). Since 2021 a working group, which includes members from the RLG organisations, the Wurundjerl and the Victorian Planning Authority have been working on how to protect the values that natural evelends protected. At this stage the working group is developing recommendations around this for consideration by the RLG in mid 2023.

Looks like It will be, Charlottel Was initiated as a group initially with agencies with the greatest financial resources / commitments to contribute to the strategy, to get that initial alignment, with the mid-term review coming up it is a good tim re-prosecute if that group needs shifting. 9 Will natural wetlands stop being developed as treatment wetlands in developers' favour? Why is there no community representation in the RLG? is this being revisited as a result of the mid-tern 10 review to ensure communities are repsetned/have a voice? Thanks so much, Charlotte Anonymous Attendee Through the Liveable Waterways, Liveable Communities program the types of grants that are available to be applied for halready been broadened significantly (https://www.mebournewater.com.au/services/grants/heable-communities-liveable waterways-program, A) pat port this program, which can consider grants which one over a number of different areas. If you something specific in mind, we suggest you reach out to our grants team. As a broader business, there is a lot of working on to understand the best way to fully integrate the NM and PMPVECMA waterway and catchered programs. Will the integration of MW and PPWPCMA broaden the funding available through grants for outcome can be delivered by partners/leaders like waterkeepers, friends, EcoCentre, councils or others that lost 11 both on-land and waterway projects as interconnected Currently though, the \$\$ for waterways work that MW have is through the Waterways and Drainage Charge and regulated by Currently though, the \$5 for waterways work that MW have is through the Waterways and Drainage Charge and regulated by the \$5C, whereas CMA project funding is wis grants for specific projects; (i.e. determined ahead of receiving the \$5S), whe are aligning works where possible, but the available funding has not expanded and we can't fund catchment projects directly through the regulated income. Looking into this ahead of our next price submission. He had not a continued the project of the service What about water flows? Peak flows in urban areas are around ten times those in undevelop causing excessive erosion. Elevated nutrient levels favour re-establishment of weeds when ri causing excessive erosion. E 12 vegetation is washed away Robyn Gail Hall Does 'successfully established' riparian vegetation refer to the total area attempted or total area that $13\,$ survived at the target quality/density? Will you be making updates to the mapped wetlands layer? For the upper Merri the current infor showing on your report card map (https://healthywaterways.com.au/map) is not up to date and 14 reflect recent identification and mapping of Upper Merri wetlands by Alluvium for MWC Thanks for pointing this out Luisa - we will follow up on this for sure. Consider me onto it.

The vegetation establishment targets in the Healthy Waterways Strategy are stretch targets which were designed to be quite challenging to meet. The Danderong Catchiment had the smallest area of vegetation targets to start with, which is why it is onto tack compared with the others. Melbourne Water has recently allocated additional resources to this program to try and get it back on track.

See above answer:

The vegetation establishment targets in the Healthy Waterways Strategy are stretch targets which were designed to be quite challenging to meet. The Danderong Catchiment had the smallest area of vegetation targets to start with, which is why it is ontrack compared with the others. Melbourne Water has recently allocated additional resources to this program to try and get it back on track. 15 Why is the vegetation increase in Dandenong so different to other catchments? 16 Why is the Dandenong catchment the only one on track Merran Price 16 Why is the Dandenong catchment the only one on track Paul Rees Merran Price Thanks Trish. How do I contact you my email is Jibberg67@bigpond.com. The current salt lev to be unacceptable in very worreid that: the rush to use manusfactured water with high sa T7 NOT being coansidered seriously and it wil jact offitration andproductivity for agriculatural 18. How do we keep track of outcomes from IWM forums? Helen van den Berg I will contact you via email Helen. Thanks for highlighting this. Nicole Kowalczyk on Wurundjeri Wol Requested response from DEECA DELWP (now DECCA) have provided a few rounds of funding for priority projects, but also includes funding from agencies and local governments, split according to stake different partners have in the outcome. Anril Seymore Hi Graham, great question. The Environmental Protection Agency is the lead agency for the public to reporting pollution to (https://www.epa.vic.gov.au/report-pollution). Once the EPA are notified, they will investigate and engage with Melbourne Water and Council to own't together to minimize the impact of pollution and indexify and stop the pollution at the source possible). The agency that issues any fines (if required), will depend upon which legislation has been breeched as part of the pollution event. So the bottom line is notify the Environmental Protection Agency as the first point of call to report pollution they will engage Melbourne Water and others directly. How can Melbourne Water ,Councils and the EPA work together to get a pragmatic soulution to pol 20 control, the reporting of incidents and issuing fines? The population is confused. Some rivers in the SWS have 5-year targets that will be achieved sooner than 2032, for example the Werribse River has a targe of 2 GL to be returned to the environment by 2034, then an additional 10 GL by 2032. For all rivers the question about incremental vs. (It achievement will depend on how the water is being recovered and this varies across the systems are compared to the contraction of the second of Environmental water target in the SWS: Will be have to wait until 2032 for the targets to be met, or will 21 they be incrementally achieved with full achievement prior to 2032? In wondering lift scommittee are aware of the Work that has been put into Stop the Quarry in the Beveridge Wallan precinct?? The Group has been very active in bringing the Constortium to account. The Quarry will have a significant impact on the water table of the Upper Merri Creek. This has been on going for close on 6 years. A ministerfal Advisory Committee was formed and submissions presented in 2022. A new environmental minister has been appointed in Sonya Kilkenny. We are awaiting her decision on this 22 matter. Gazza Sturdy Comment not question - but note that the work of the community on this is apprecitated For the stormwater I would expect Developers would be establishing the assets on our behalf as part of their development For the stormwater I would expect Developers would be establishing the assets on our behalf as part of For the stormwater I would expect Leveloppes would use exaduating the assets on our behalf as part of 23 their development works.

For the stormwater I would expect Developers would be establishing the assets on our behalf as part of 23 their development works.

24 Wetland in Berwic Manuka Rd - pls keep an eye out for this one.

Is it possible for regional NGGs to participate in the IVMN Forums? (Thinking specifically of waterkeepers We can often make connections and work to progress worthy ideas that fall outside the current scope / 25 remit of such a network and its members. works. That is correct Merran, a large number of constructed waterways and wetland are delivered by developers as part of Precinct Merran Price Anonymous Attendee April Seymore Agency only as far as I understand April, but I'll follow up with you after to connect you with our IWM reps at MW:) Gail Hall Can we please take this on notice and get back to you with a more thorough response. That said state policy now refers to the Healthy Waterways Strategy (at Clause 12.03-15), This is a positive change that will support better waterway outcomes throug strategic planning processes such as Precinct Structure Branning, and should support referral decision-making and helps defen our position at VCAT or Planning Panels. Other initiatives happening at MW are review of MUSIC guidelines. 26 How is HWS implementation being incoporated into drainage schemes? Anonymous Attendee The access performance objectives (202km increased access accross the region by 30 June 2028) contribute to improving social values of waterways in urban catchments. The strategy also supports a number of projects that will improve the a and biodiversity values of urban waterways, including the pilot Chain of Ponds (Moonee Ponds Creek) collaboration, the emerging Gardiners' Creek collaboration and several creek naturalisation projects. How does the strategy support significantly degraded waterways in the urban area to maintain and improve 27 the amenity and biodiversity values of these waterways over time? Or does it? Suresh (SEW) Melbourne Water have miligated the two large barriers on the Maribrynong (Arundel St and McNabs Weir) that were incliin the Healthy Waterways Strategy. There are other smaller barriers in the system that two been investigated and found it
effect only partial barriers (still analyside by fish after a substantial flow event or provide a poor cost-benefit (leight) of still opened). Many concrete weir barriers through Sulmbury have been modified to allow passage, but there are several substantantial rock barriers on addisons Create Mark Melbourne Water has not prioritised after a cost-benefit analysis.

			The HWS prioritised two barriers to be removed by 2028. They were located at McNabs Weir and Arundle Weir. Arundel Weir fishway and McNabs Weir fishway ere completed in 2019/20 and 2020/21 respectively. Assessments indicate the fishways are working well and the Maribyrnong catchment is on-track. More information is available at	
	28 How many fish barriers in the Maribrnong stil need ot be removed? 29 Gambusia are in huge numbers at Devilbend & Bittern reservoirs	Helen van den Berg Marnie	worsing well and the warroymong calcimient is on-track, more information is available at https://healthywaterways.com.au/report-card?suld=MAR&tabid=river& Thank you for your comment	Gail Hall
		Helen van den Berg	Thank you for your comment Yes, absolutely. Gardiners is one of the sub-catchments in the broader Yarra catchment. Specific information about the	
	31 Is Gardiners Creek covered under the Healthy Waterways Strategy? 32 The strategy needs adjustment in many areas - need a set of workshops to work tgrough these	Graham Scott Anonymous Attendee	Gardiners Creek catchment can be found in the Yarra Catchment Program and Yarra report card. We would be happy to discuss this in more details. Could you please be in touch via waterway strategy@melbournewater.com.au? Many thanks	Geraldine Plas Geraldine Plas
	Thanks Gall, do the flow guidelines for stormwater address this problem in established areas or just new developments?	Robyn	Just SOME new development Robyn where it was most cost effective to protect existing waterways values. These are the	Trish Grant
3	I can't see community being mentioend here as either partners or collaborations? This should be upfront, 34 surely.	Johanna Selleck	Johanna, we need to get better at calling out and highlighting that then! We have a really significant incentives program that each year supports community groups in delivering projects on the ground, and is overwhelmingly driven by contributing to the HWS performance objectives. Definitely partners, and strong and valued ones!	Gail Hall
			HI Johanna, thanks for your question and noted we could bring community partners and collaborations out more in our reporting today. We do have numerous collaborations with our community, on ground across catchments through our	
3.	I can't see community being mentioend here as either partners or collaborations? This should be upfront, 34 surely.	Johanna Selleck	Waterway & Land Officers, with citizen science projects, incentives programs and our Regional Landcare and Regional Agricultural Landcare programs. Community participation and feedback is also gathered through the use of Your Say and other engagement techniques, with community feedback and involvement feeding into on ground projects.	Gail Hall
	What is being doing to protect Gardiners Creek from poor water quality coming from the Brickworks	Graham Scott	Hi Graham, I will get back to you seperately on this as I have your contact details.	Out Holl
	36 Why does the RLG think the strategy is so challenging? 37 How is the continual sale of riparian land owned by MW for private development consistent with the RHS?	Louise Minty	Why does the RLG think the strategy is so challenging? Thank you for your comment, the asertion is not accurate	Louise Minty
3	18 Trent - where are you answering people's questions? 19 What about a community rep on the RLG? That seems a simple and easy step.	Anonymous Attendee Anonymous Attendee Andrew Kelly	Questions that have been answered flip across to the next tab - 'answered'!	Gall Hall Gail Hall
4	MCMC are looking at reporting on outcomes of our community litter creek clean ups on the Merri, Moonee Ponds and Plenty Nier and we'd like to collaborate with Mon on this to ensure we use the same to methodology as MW does. Who are the best staff I can be connected to to talk about this? MCMC are looking at reporting on outcomes of our community litter creek clean ups on the Merri, Moonee	Julia Cirillo MCMC	Julia, You can contact me in the shot term. This is not my bag but I can help connect you to the right people.	Trish Grant
4	Ponds and Plenty River and we'd like to collaborate with MW on this to ensure we use the same to methodology as MW does. Who are the best staff (can be connected to to talk about this?	Julia Cirillo MCMC	Hi Julia, happy for you to contact me as well about this one.	Gail Hall
			A key principle of the Act is to ensure that duty holder actions and decisions are based on the best available, relevant and reliable evidence in the circumstances. This includes information that can and should reasonably be considered about the risks of harm arising from activities, and the reasonably practicable ways of preventing or milminising those risks. This informations referred to as the "state of knowledge". "State of knowledge" is a dynamic concept that changes over time as knowledge of risks and the ways to control those risks improves. As research and understanding of risks and issues progress, new "state of knowledge" will be established.	
4	Does EPA ever fine Vicroads for the heavy mateal and hydrcarbons their roads deliver to waterways? Why don't our roads have toxicant treatment systems on their major raods? Perhoas htey could be invite dinot the leadership Team to get them up to speed. The chemicia pollution is already inpacting the food web in 11 our waterways. Helen	Helen van den Berg	EPA has not issued any fines to VicRoads in relation to roadway runoff to waterways as the risks and impacts are not yet well understood. However, there is a lot of active research occurring internationally to investigate the chemical components of tyres as an emerging chemical class.	
4	Should MW look at having in-house Landscape Architects to play a role in bridging the conversation 12 between knowledge groups?	Glen Dunstan	Melbourne Water does have a number of Landscape Architects working here, not always as landscape architects though. I agree it is an important set of skills to help provide connections and holistic consideration of landscape issues and opportunities	
	***@Rachel Gualano very happy to discuss our GED questions off line, but given the ostersibly stronger obligation on duty holders to PREVENT risk (not just be held accountable for harm)! seemend there may be ripple effects to the HWS either in logic included, tragets or strengthened parentiple emphasized e.g. to			
4	balance risk reduction as well as the proactive plantings and other great work in HWS. 13 Oh! Agree with how Cheryl just said this! EPA + MW - how to collaborate with pragmatic solutions.	April Seymore	Thank you for your comment, it has been passed on to both Cheryl and Rachel	Gail Hall
7	S divings to the first passage and the CA THE TON OCCUPANTION AND page and Soundois.	April Equation	It Bob, the strategy flows through to work on private land in a number of different ways. One of the key ways that we engage with private landholders is through the Liveable Communities, Liveable Waterways grants program (https://www.melbournewater.com.au/services/grants/liveable-communities-liveable-waterways-program). This programs provides private landholders with funding and technical advice to help them improve waterways flowing through or adjacent to their properties. Melbourne Water undertakes direct works projects on private land in priority areas and we work with colladarse groups to build capacity and delivery projects. Was to have a number of deduction programs, to encourage private	Control
4		Bob	landowners to manage stormwater and waterways on their properties.	Paul Rees
4	It is great to see that CGRSWS, HWS, GMUWSS all acknowledging each other. At the same time there is a risk that HWS depends on SWS and UWSS, and SWS and UWSS depends on IWM Catchment Scale IWM 15 Plans, while IWM catchment plans are not funded and committed.	Suresh (SEW)	Thank you for your comment	
	Sunbury's Water Future has some budget for implementation for stormwater volume reduction. Are there plans to include budget for other priority catchments, Melton, Upper Yarra, Merri, Cardinia in the next MW		Thanks for your question Nigel. Yes MW are currently investigating projects with current price submission funding and additional funding will no doubt be sought for the next price submission as well. I can get back to you with more details on	
4	LRCL has organised a tour of the Little River Catchment on Saturday 18 February at which MW will talk about work they are doing to improve the LR environment and DEECA will speak about progress on	Nigel Corby - Spiire	these.	Gail Hall
	initiatives to improve environmental flows. More details of the tour and to register are on Eventbrite - https://www.eventbrite.com.au/e/guided-self-drive-little-river-catchment-tour-tickets-			
4	https://www.eventbrite.com.au/e/guided-self-drive-little-river-catchment-tour-tickets- 516564988787?aff=ebdssbdestsearch . We appreciate the support of all the agencies who will be involved	Patrick O'Halloran# LRCL	Great plug for that event Patrick	
4	https://www.eventbrite.com.au/e/guided-self-drive-little-river-catchment-tour-tickets- 516564988787?aff=ebdssbdestsearch . We appreciate the support of all the agencies who will be involved	Patrick O'Halloran# LRCL	Sodic soils are found across Victoria, including Melbourne's greenfield developing areas, such as the north region around Kalkallo and Bevertidge as well as around Sunbury and in the west region around Merrima and Payara. Melbourne Water currently investigates social soils through soil testing and analysis to inform Development Service Schemes (DSS) and PSPs on a case by case basis. This helps to inflore through soil testing and analysis to inform Development Service Schemes (DSS) and PSPs on a case by case basis. This helps to inflore through soil testing and analysis to inform Development Service Schemes (DSS) and PSPs on a case by case basis. This helps to inflore through soil testing and analysis to inform Development Service Schemes (DSS) and PSPs on a case by case basis. This helps to inflore the solution of the solution o	
	https://www.eventbrite.com.au/e/guided-self-drive-little-river-catchment-tour-tickets- 51856498878727aff-ebdsbdestsearch . We appreciate the support of all the agencies who will be involved 17 in this tour. Is MW investigating changes to the EDCM for sodic and erosive solis? There are a lot of agencies talking		Sodic soils are found across Victoria, including Melbourne's greenfield developing areas, such as the north region around Kalkallo and Beveridge as well as around Sunbury and in the west region around Merrimu and Parwan. Melbourne Water currently investigates sodic soils	
	https://www.eventbrite.com.au/e/guided-self-drive-little-river-catchment-tour-tickets- 5165649887877aff-ebdssbdestsearch . We appreciate the support of all the agencies who will be involved 17 in this tour. Is MW investigating changes to the EDCM for sodic and erosive solis? There are a lot of agencies talking 18 about this for some time and some good work done - but changes to engineering guidlines are needed	Patrick O'Halloran# LRCL Elyse Kelly-Mitchell Shire Council	Sodie soils are found across victoria, including Melbourne's greenfield developing areas, such as the north region around Kalako and Beerridga served as round Surbury and not the west region around Merrimus and Parawa. Melbourner Water currently investigates soild soils through so lesting and analysis to inform Development Service Schemes (DSS) and PSPs on a case by case basis. This helps to inform corridor widths for example in Kaladlo creek. Agree that a region-wide approach would be benefical for overarching guidelines Great question - in the past, we have done proactive recruitment with councils for stormwater and veg opportunities, and	
4	https://www.eventbrite.com.au/e/guided-self-drive-little-river-catchment-tour-tickets- 51856498878727aff-ebdsbdestsearch . We appreciate the support of all the agencies who will be involved 17 in this tour. Is MW investigating changes to the EDCM for sodic and erosive solis? There are a lot of agencies talking		Sodic soils are found across victoria, including Melbourn's greenfield developing areas, such as the north region around failate) and Beerridge as well as round Surbowy and he weet region a round Merrimu and Parwan. Melbourner Water currently investigates soil coils through not testing and analysis to inform Development Service Schemes (DSS) and PSPs on a case by case basis. This helps to inform corridor widths for example in Kalkallo creek. Agree that a region-wide approach would be benefical for overarching guidelines Great question—in the past, we have done proactive recruitment with councils for stormwater and veg opportunities, and private landholders for riparian veg. But mostly relied on who approaches us re: community groups. We are shifting that as we	Gall Hall
4	https://www.eventbrite.com.au/e/guided-self-drive-little-river-catchment-tour-tickets- 5156698987727aff-ebdsbdestsearch. We appreciate the support of all the agencies who will be involved 17 in this tour. Is MW investigating changes to the EDCM for sodic and erosive sols? There are a lot of agencies talking 18 about this for some time and some good work done - but changes to engineering guidlines are needed Forgive my ignorance: does MW approach strategicpartners/landholders to apply for incentives? Or is this 19 funding always based on who comes forward and applies? Many of the report card ratings have been marked significantly higher because they assume "planned" works have already been committed to or completed. Take 'stormwater' in the 'arra Catchment for example marked as '228'-slightly off track' when 'actual' progress is closer to just 1%. Will the mid-stratey review asses if the planned' contribution towards the targets are actually lively be delivered, and if it is	Elyse Kelly-Mitchell Shire Council	Sodie soils are found across victoria, including Melbourn's greenfield developing areas, such as the north region around failation and Beerridge as well as sound Surbury and new level region around Melbrarium and Parwari. Melbourner Water currently investigates soils cold through not lesting and analysis to inform Development Service Schemes (DSS) and PSPs on a case by case basis. This helps to inform corridor widths for example in Kalkallo creek. Agree that a region-wide approach would be benefical for overarching guidelines Great question - in the past, we have done proactive recruitment with councils for stormwater and veg opportunities, and private landholders for riparian veg. But mostly relied on who approaches us re: community groups. We are shifting that as we are getting better data on where we are on/off track to target areas we need to work Many of the report card ratings have been marked significantly higher because they assume "planned" works have already been committed to or completed. Take 'stormwater' in the Yarra Catchment for example marked as "23%" - slightly off track' when countilities for closure or sound to the track' when committed to or completed. Take 'stormwater' in the Yarra Catchment for example marked as "23%" - slightly off track' when	Gall Hall Riv Davey-Greene
4	https://www.eventbrite.com.au/e/guided-self-drive-little-rive-catchment-tour-tickets- 51656498878727ffrebdsisbdestsearch. We appreciate the support of all the agencies who will be involved 17 in this tour. Is MW investigating changes to the EDCM for sodic and erosive solis? There are a lot of agencies talking 18 about this for some time and some good work done-but changes to engineering guidlines are needed 18 about this for some time and some good work done-but changes to engineering guidlines are needed 19 forgive my ignorance: does MW approach strategicpartners/landholders to apply for incentives? Or is this 19 funding always based on who comes forward and applies? 19 funding always based on who comes forward and applies? 19 works have already been committed to or completed. Take 'stormwards' in the 'barrac Catchment for example marked siz? 32%- slightly off tack when 'steating progress is close to just 15%. Will the milestrategy review asses! If the 'planned' contributions towards the targets are actually likely to be delivered, and if it is 50 in fact appropriate that they count towards this report card.	Elyse Kelly-Mitchell Shire Council April Seymore	Sodie soils are found across victoria, including Melbourn's greenfield developing areas, such as the north region around failate) and Beerridge as well as sound surbury and new tear goes or under Merrima and Parwar. Melbourner Water currently investigates soild soils through soil testing and analysis to inform Development Service Schemes (DSS) and PSPs on a case by case basis. This helps to inform corridor widths for example in Kalkallo creek. Agree that a region-wide approach would be benefical for overarching guidelines Great question - in the past, we have done proactive recruitment with councils for stormwater and veg opportunities, and private landholders for riparian veg. But mostly relied on who approaches us re: community groups. We are shifting that as we are getting better data on where we are on/off track to target areas we need to work Many of the report card ratings have been marked significantly higher because they assume "planned" works have already been committed to or completed. Take 'stormwater' in the Yarra Catchment for example marked as '23X' - slightly off track' when	
4	https://www.eventbrite.com.au/e/guided-self-drive-little-river-catchment-tour-tickets- 5156698987727aff-ebdsbdestsearch. We appreciate the support of all the agencies who will be involved 17 in this tour. 15 MW investigating changes to the EDCM for sodic and erosive sols? There are a lot of agencies talking 18 about this for some time and some good work done - but changes to engineering guidlines are needed 18 about this for some time and some good work done - but changes to engineering guidlines are needed 19 funding always based on who comes forward and applies? 19 funding always based on who comes forward and applies? 19 funding always based on who comes forward and applies? 19 works have already been committed to or completed. Take 'stormwater' in the Yarra Catchment for example marked as '226'-slightly off track' when 'actual' progress is closer to just 1%. Will the mid-strates of in fact appropriate that they count towards that report card? 19 funding appropriate that they count towards this report card? 19 Many of the report card ratings have been marked significantly higher because they assume 'planned' 19 works have already been committed to or completed. Take 'stormwater' in the Yarra Catchment for example marked as '226'-slightly off track' when 'actual' progress is closer to just 1%. Will the mid-strategor over its have already been committed to or completed. Take 'stormwater' in the Yarra Catchment for example marked as '226'-slightly off track' when 'actual' progress is closer to just 1%. Will the mid-strategor eview asses if the 'fanned' contributions towards the targets are actually lively to be delivered, and if it is eview assess if the 'fanned' contributions towards the targets are actually lively to be delivered, and if it is	Elyse Kelly-Mitchell Shire Council April Seymore	Solds so like in Found across victoria, including Netbourne's greenfield developing areas, such as the north region around Kalaka and Beerridge as well as sound solution yand in the west region around Marimus and Parawa. Melbourne Water currently investigates socid so libroughs of testing and analysis to inform Development Service Schemes (ISS) and SPS on a case by case basis. This helpids to inform corridor widths for example in Kalakalo creek. Agree that a region-wide approach would be benefical for overarching guidelines. Great question - in the past, we have done proactive recruitment with councils for stormwater and veg opportunities, and private landholders for rigarian veg. But mostly related on who approaches us er. community groups. We are shifting that as we are getting better data on where we are norifyf track to tapet are as we need to work. Many of the report card ratings have been marked significantly higher because they assume "planned" works have already been committed to or completed. Take 'stormwater' in the 'arra Catchment for example marked as '25%'- slightly off track' when "catual" progress is closer to just 15% with the mid-stratery review asses if the planned 'contributions towards the targets are actually likely to be delivered, and if it is in fact appropriate that they count towards this report card? Hi Riv, a great question and suggestion. This is exactly what we will be doing via the mid-term review. We will also re-consider whether planned works should be used to judge progress. It's important to show where planning is happening but we also don't	Riv Davey-Greene
4:	https://www.eventbrite.com.au/e/guided-self-drive-little-river-catchment-tour-tickets- 5156698987727aff-ebdsbdestsearch. We appreciate the support of all the agencies who will be involved 17 in this tour. Is MW investigating changes to the EDCM for sodic and erosive solis? There are a lot of agencies talking 18 about this for some time and some good work done - but changes to engineering guidlines are needed 18 about this for some time and some good work done - but changes to engineering guidlines are needed 19 funding always based on who comes forward and applies? 19 funding always based on who comes forward and applies? 19 Many of the report card ratings have been marked significantly higher because they assume "planned" works have already been committed to or completed. Take 'stormwater' in the 'arrac Catchment for example marked as '128'-slightly off track' when 'actual' progress is closer to just 15k. Will the mid-stratge review asses if the 'fanned' contribution towards the targets are actually likely to be delivered, and if it is 50 in fact appropriate that they count towards this report card? Many of the report card' ratings have been marked significantly higher because they assume 'planned' works have already been committed to or completed. Take 'stormwater' in the 'arrac Catchment for example marked as '128'-slightly off track' when 'actual' progress is closer to just 15k. Will the mid-stratgey review asses if the 'fanned' contribution towards the targets are actually likely to be delivered, and if it is 50 in fact appropriate that they count towards this report card?	Elyse Kelly-Mitchell Shire Council April Seymore Riv Davey-Greene	Solds so like re found across victoria, including Melbourne's greenfield developing areas, such as the north region around kalako and Beerridge as well as sound solution yand in the west region around Merminu and Parawa. Melbourne Water currently investigates solds cold through sold testing and analysis to inform Development Service Schemes (DSS) and PSPs on a case by case basis. This helps to inform corridor widths for example in Kalakol creek. Agree that a region-wide approach would be benefical for overarching guidelines. Great question - in the past, we have done proactive recruitment with councils for stormwater and veg opportunities, and private landholders for riparian veg. But mostly relied on who approaches us re: community groups. We are shifting that as we are getting better data on where we are norify track to larger areas we need to work. Many of the report card ratings have been marked significantly higher because they assume "planned" works have already been committed to or completed. Take 'Stormwater' in the Yarra Catchment for example natived as 23%- slightly off track' when "catcally progres is chosen to just 13%. With the mid-stratery neive assess if the 'planned' contributions towards the targets are actually likely to be delivered, and if it is in fact appropriate that they count towards this report card? H RN, a great question and suggestion. This is exactly what we will be doing via the mid-term review. We will also re-consider whether planned works should be used to judge progress. It's important to show where planned well and prepring but we also don't want to give false impression of progress. Thanks for your thoughts. The outcomes are a bit higher level than identifying the specific projects to achieve then – e.g. add riparian veg, improve access and the reimagining / vaterway addityping program is one way of getting there. But costs are high, and very different between	Riv Davey-Greene Gall Hall
4: 4: 5: 5: 5: 5: 5: 5: 5: 5: 5: 5: 5: 5: 5:	https://www.eventbrite.com.au/e/guided-self-drive-little-river-catchment-tour-tickets- 5156698987727aff-ebdsbdestsearch. We appreciate the support of all the agencies who will be involved 17 in this tour. 18 MW investigating changes to the EDCM for sodic and erosive solis? There are a lot of agencies talking 18 about this for some time and some good work done - but changes to engineering guidlines are needed 18 forgive my ignorance: does MW approach strategicpartners/landholders to apply for incentives? Or is this 19 funding always based on who comes forward and applies? 19 Many of the report card ratings have been marked significantly higher because they assume "planned" 19 works have already been committed to or completed. Take 'stormwater' in the Yarra Catchment for 19 caveling marked as '228'-slightly off track' when 'actual' progress is closer to just 15k. Will the mid-strater 19 in fact appropriate that they count towards the irapet are actually likely to be delivered, and if it is 10 in fact appropriate that they count towards this report card? Many of the report card ratings have been marked significantly higher because they assume 'planned' 19 works have already been committed to or completed. Take 'stormwater' in the Yarra Catchment for 19 caven years are actually likely to be delivered, and if it is 19 in fact appropriate that they count towards this report card? 19 in fact appropriate that they count towards this report card?	Elyse Kelly-Mitchell Shire Council April Seymore Riv Davey-Greene Riv Davey-Greene Anonymous Attendee	Solds so like re found across victoria, including Melbourne's greenfield developing areas, such as the north region around kalako and Beerridge as well as sound solution yand him the west region around Merrima and Parawa. Melbourne Water currently investigates solds cold through sold testing and analysis to inform Development Service Schemes (DSS) and SPS- on a case by case basis. This helps to inform corridor widths for example in Kalakol creek. Agree that a region-wide approach would be benefical for overarching guidelines. Great question - in the past, we have done proactive recruitment with councils for stormwater and veg opportunities, and private landholders for riparian veg. But mostly relied on who approaches us re: community groups. We are shifting that as we are getting better data on where we are noffer track to target a reas we need to work. Many of the report card ratings have been marked significantly higher because they assume "planned" works have already been committed to or completed. Take "stormwater" in the Yarra Calcithment for example marked as "325s—slightly off track" when factual progress is closer to just 1s. Will the mid-tracking review asser if the planned "ortholions bowards the targets are actually likely to be delivered, and if it is in fact appropriate that they count towards this report card? Hi Riv, a great question and suggestion. This is exactly what we will be doing via the mid-term review. We will also re-consider whether planned with should be used to judge progress. It's important to show where planning is happening but we also don't want to give false impression of progress. Thanks for your thoughts. The outcomes are a bit higher level than identifying the specific projects to achieve them – e.g. add riparian veg, improve access-and the reimagingly valverey ways flying program is one way of getting there. But costs are high, and very different between projects. Needs to go through the Price submission process to be able to commit (which we continue doing)	Riv Davey-Greene Gail Hall Gail Hall
4 4 5 5 5 5 5	https://www.eventbrite.com.au/e/guided-self-drive-little-river-catchment-tour-tickets- 5156698987727aff-ebdsbdestsearch. We appreciate the support of all the agencies who will be involved 17 in this tour. Is MW investigating changes to the EDCM for sodic and erosive solis? There are a lot of agencies talking 18 about this for some time and some good work done - but changes to engineering guidlines are needed 18 about this for some time and some good work done - but changes to engineering guidlines are needed 19 funding always based on who comes forward and applies? 19 funding always based on who comes forward and applies? 19 Many of the report card ratings have been marked significantly higher because they assume "planned" works have already been committed to or completed. Take 'stormwater' in the 'arrac Catchment for example marked as '128'-slightly off track' when 'actual' progress is closer to just 15k. Will the mid-stratge review asses if the 'fanned' contribution towards the targets are actually likely to be delivered, and if it is 50 in fact appropriate that they count towards this report card? Many of the report card' ratings have been marked significantly higher because they assume 'planned' works have already been committed to or completed. Take 'stormwater' in the 'arrac Catchment for example marked as '128'-slightly off track' when 'actual' progress is closer to just 15k. Will the mid-stratgey review asses if the 'fanned' contribution towards the targets are actually likely to be delivered, and if it is 50 in fact appropriate that they count towards this report card?	Elyse Kelly-Mitchell Shire Council April Seymore Riv Davey-Greene	Solds so like re found across victoria, including Melbourn's greenfield developing areas, such as the north region around kalako and Beerridga sevel as a sound sulcivary and in the west region around Merrima and Parwar. Melbourner Water currently investigates solds cold throughs of testing and analysis to inform Development Service Schemes (DSS) and SPS- on a case by case basis. This helps to inform corridor widths for example in Kalakol creek. Agree that a region-wide approach would be benefical for overarching guidelines. Great question - in the past, we have done proactive recruitment with councils for stormwater and veg opportunities, and private landholders for riparian veg. But mostly relied on who approaches us re: community groups. We are shifting that as we are getting better data on where we are on/off track to target areas we need to work. Many of the report card ratings have been marked significantly higher because they assume "planned" works have already been committed to or completed. Tale stormwater in the Yarra Catchment for example marked as *235-*3-slightly off track' when 'actual' progress is closer to just 15s. Will the mid-strategy releva asses if the planned' contributions towards the targets are actually likely to be delivered, and if it is in fact appropriate that they count towards this report card? Hill Riv., a great question and suggestion. This is exactly what we will be doing via the mid-term review. We will also re-consider whether planned works should be used to judge progress. It is important to show where planning is happening but we also don't want to give false impression of progress. Thanks for your thoughts. The outcomes are a bit higher level than identifying the specific projects to achieve them - e.g. add rigarian veg. improve access-and the reimaging valvery and welly thing program is no every of getting there. But costs are high, and very different between projects. Needs to go through the Price Submission process to be able to commit (which we continue doing) Cree	Riv Davey-Greene Gall Hall
4 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	https://www.eventrbrite.com.au/e/guided-self-drive-little-frive-catchment-tour-tickets- 51565498878773ffrebdsixbdestsearch. We appreciate the support of all the agencies who will be involved 17 in this tour. 18 MW investigating changes to the EDCM for sodic and erosive solis? There are a lot of agencies talking 18 about this for some time and some good work done- but changes to engineering guidlines are needed 18 about this for some time and some good work done- but changes to engineering guidlines are needed 18 about this for some time and some good work done- but changes to engineering guidlines are needed 18 under a way and the some some some some some some some som	Elyse Kelly-Mitchell Shire Council April Seymore Riv Davey-Greene Anonymous Attendee Anonymous Attendee	Sode so lair re found across victoria, including Netbourne's greenfied developing areas, such as the north region around kalable and Beerriggs are vall as sound solution yand in the west region around Nethernia and Parwan. Melbourne Water currently investigates socid so lithrough so testing and analysis to inform Development Service Schemes (ISS) and SPS on a case by case basis. This helps to inform corridor widths for example in Kalakalo creek. Agree that a region-wide approach would be benefical for overarching guidelines. Great question - in the past, we have done proactive recruitment with councils for stormwater and veg opportunities, and private landholders for rigariant veg. But motify relied on who approaches is re: community groups. We are shifting that as we are getting better data on where we are noriff track of to larget areas we need to work. Many of the report card ratings have been marked significantly higher because they assume "planned" works have already been committed to or completed. Take 'stormwater' in the Yarra Catchment for example marked as '235'- slightly off track' when "extual" progress is done to just 315. While the mid-strategr veiew asses if the "planned" contributions towards the targets are actually likely to be delivered, and if it is in fact appropriate that they count towards this report card? Hi Rix, a great question and suggestion. This is exactly what we will be doing via the mid-term review. We will also re-consider whether planned works should be used to judge progress. It's important to show where planning is happening but we also don't want to give faste impression of progress. Thanks for your thoughts. The outcomes are a bit higher level than identifying the specific projects to achieve them - e.g. add riparian veg, improve access-and the reimagining / waterway daylighting programs to ne way of getting there. But costs are high, and very different between projects. Needs to go through the Price submission projects to be able to commit (which we continue doing) Cr	Riv Davey-Greene Gail Hall Gail Hall Geraldine Plas
4 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	https://www.eventrbriec.com.au/e/guided-self-drive-little-river-catchment-tour-tickets-15165698877278ff-ebdsbdestsearch. We appreciate the support of all the agencies who will be involved 17 in this tour. Is MW investigating changes to the EDCM for sodic and erosive solis? There are a lot of agencies talking 18 about this for some time and some good work done - but changes to engineering guidlines are needed Forgive my ignorance: does MW approach strategicpartners/landholders to apply for incentives? Or is this 19 funding always based on who comes forward and applies? Many of the report card ratings have been marked significantly higher because they assume "planned" works have already been committed to or completed. Tale stormwater in the Varra Catchment for example marked as '228'-slightly off track' when "actual" progress is closer to just 15%. Will the mile strategy eview asses if the planned contributions towards the largest are actually like to be delivered, and if it is 0 in fact appropriate that they count towards the region can be appropriated to the planned contributions towards the largest are actually likely to be delivered, and if it is 0 in fact appropriate that they count towards the region can be actually likely to be delivered, and if it is 0 in fact appropriate that they count towards the region can be actually likely to be delivered, and if it is 0 in fact appropriate that they count towards the region can? 15.1 why arent there targets in the HWS to remove xkm of concreted and piped waterways? 15.2 How expensive are reimaging your creek projects compared to other environmental works?	Elyse Kelly-Mitchell Shire Council April Seymore Riv Davey-Greene Riv Davey-Greene Anonymous Attendee Anonymous Attendee Anonymous Attendee	Sode so lair re found across victoria, including Netbourne's greenfied developing areas, such as the north region around kalable and Beerriggs are vall as sound solution yand in the west region around Nethernia and Parwan. Melbourne Water currently investigates socid so lithrough so testing and analysis to inform Development Service Schemes (ISS) and SPS on a case by case basis. This helps to inform corridor widths for example in Kalakalo creek. Agree that a region-wide approach would be benefical for overarching guidelines. Great question - in the past, we have done proactive recruitment with councils for stormwater and veg opportunities, and private landholders for rigariant veg. But motify relied on who approaches is re: community groups. We are shifting that as we are getting better data on where we are noriff track of to larget areas we need to work. Many of the report card ratings have been marked significantly higher because they assume "planned" works have already been committed to or completed. Take 'stormwater' in the Yarra Catchment for example marked as '235'- slightly off track' when "extual" progress is done to just 315. While the mid-strategr veiew asses if the "planned" contributions towards the targets are actually likely to be delivered, and if it is in fact appropriate that they count towards this report card? Hi Rix, a great question and suggestion. This is exactly what we will be doing via the mid-term review. We will also re-consider whether planned works should be used to judge progress. It's important to show where planning is happening but we also don't want to give faste impression of progress. Thanks for your thoughts. The outcomes are a bit higher level than identifying the specific projects to achieve them - e.g. add riparian veg, improve access-and the reimagining / waterway daylighting programs to ne way of getting there. But costs are high, and very different between projects. Needs to go through the Price submission projects to be able to commit (which we continue doing) Cr	Riv Davey-Greene Gail Hall Gail Hall Geraldine Plas Gail Hall
4 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	https://www.eventbrite.com.au/e/guided-self-drive-little-rive-catchment-tour-tickets- 15165698878773ffreedostobdestsearch. We appreciate the support of all the agencies who will be involved 17 in this tour. Is MW investigating changes to the EDCM for sodic and erosive solis? There are a lot of agencies talking 18 about this for some time and some good work done- but chnages to engineering guidlines are needed 18 about this for some time and some good work done- but chnages to engineering guidlines are needed 18 about this for some time and some good work done- but chnages to engineering guidlines are needed 18 about this for some time and some good work done- but chnages to engineering guidlines are needed 18 forgive my ignorance: does MM approach strategicantners/landholders to apply for incentives? Or is this 19 funding always based on who comes forward and applies? Many of the report card ratings have been marked significantly higher because they assume "planned" works have already been committed to or completed. Take 'stormwards' in the Yarra Catchment for example marked siz?32-sightly fortact When' citactill grozes is close to just 15. Will the meliastrategy review asses if the 'planned' contributions towards the targets are actually likely to be delivered, and if it is 10 in fact appropriate that they count towards this report card? 18 why arent there targets in the HWS to remove xkm of concreted and piped waterways? 19 why arent there targets in the HWS to remove xkm of concreted and piped waterways? 20 How expensive are reimaging your creek projects compared to other environmental works? 21 How expensive are reimaging your creek projects compared to other environmental works? 22 How expensive are reimaging your creek projects compared to other environmental works?	Elyse Kelly-Mitchell Shire Council April Seymore Riv Davey-Greene Riv Davey-Greene Anonymous Attendee Anonymous Attendee Anonymous Attendee	Solds so lair er found across victoria, including Melbourne's greenfield developing areas, such as the north region around kalaba and Beerridge as well as sound solutiony and him the west region around Merminu and Parawa. Melbourne Water currently investigates solds cold through sold testing and analysis to inform Development Service Schemes (ISS) and SPS on a case by case basis. This helps to inform corridor widths for example in Kalalado creek. Agree that a region-wide approach would be benefical for overarching guidelines. Great question - in the past, we have done proactive recruitment with councils for stormwater and veg opportunities, and private landholders for rigarian veg. But mostly related on who approaches us re: community groups. We are shifting that as we are getting better data on where we are nofirl' track to tapet are as we need to work. Many of the report card ratings have been marked significantly higher because they assume "planned" works have already been committed to or completed. Take 'Stormwater' in the 'Yarra Catchment for example marked as "22%-slightly off track" when "facult" progress is closer to just 33%. Will the mid-stratery review asses if the planned contributions towards the targets are actually likely to be delivered, and if it is in fact appropriate that they count towards this report card? Hi Riv, a great question and suggestion. This is exactly what we will be doing via the mid-term review. We will also re-consider whether planned works should be used to judge progress. It's important to show where planning is happening but we also don't want to give false impression of progress. Thanks for your thoughts. The outcomes are a bit higher level than identifying the specific projects to achieve them - e.g. add riparian veg, improve access-and the reimagining / waterway skylighting project sold to commit (which we continue doing) Creek naturalisation is covered under a non-quantified Regional Performance Objective of the Healthy Water ways Strategy. A quantified target woul	Riv Davey-Greene Gail Hall Gail Hall Geraldine Plas Gail Hall
4. 4. 4. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5.	https://www.eventbrite.com.au/e/guided-self-drive-little-rive-catchment-tour-tickets- 15165698887273ffe-dedosbodestsearch. We appreciate the support of all the agencies who will be involved 17 in this tour. 18 MW investigating changes to the EDCM for sodic and erosive solis? There are a lot of agencies talking 18 about this for some time and some good work done- but chinages to engineering guidlines are needed 18 about this for some time and some good work done- but chinages to engineering guidlines are needed 18 about this for some time and some good work done- but chinages to engineering guidlines are needed 18 forgive my ignorance: does MM1 approach strategicpartners/landholders to apply for incentives? Or is this 19 funding always based on who comes forward and applies? 19 funding always based on who comes forward and applies? 20 funding always based on who comes forward and applies? 21 works have already been committed to or completed. Take 'stormwards' in the Yarra Catchment for 22 example marked size? 32%- slightly faired: When 'etactill' groges is closer to just 15. Will the meliastrategy 22 review assess if the 'planned' contributions towards the targets are actually likely to be delivered, and if it is 23 full for a proportiate that they count towards this report card? 24 works have already been committed to or completed. Take 'stormwards' in the Yarra Catchment for 23 awards and a size of the planned' contributions towards the targets are actually likely to be delivered, and if it is 24 in the planned' contributions towards the targets are actually likely to be delivered, and if it is 25 in fact appropriate that they count towards this report card? 26 How expensive are reimaging your creek projects compared to other environmental works? 27 How expensive are reimaging your creek projects compared to other environmental works? 28 How expensive are reimaging your creek projects compared to other environmental works? 29 How expensive are reimaging your creek projects compared to other environmental work	Elyse Kelly-Mitchell Shire Council April Seymore Riv Davey-Greene Riv Davey-Greene Anonymous Attendee Anonymous Attendee Anonymous Attendee	Soids so like re found across victoria, including Melbourn's greenfield developing areas, such as the north region around kalako and Beerefiges aveil as a sound salaroup and here west region around Merrimus and Parawa. Melbourner Water currently investigates soids cold through so testing and analysis to inform Development Service Schemes (DSS) and SPS- on a case by case basis. This helps to inform corridor widths for example in Kalakol creek. Agree that a region-wide approach would be benefical for overarching guidelines. Great question - in the past, we have done proactive recruitment with councils for stormwater and veg opportunities, and private landholders for riparian weg. But mostly relied on who approaches us re: community groups. We are shifting that as we are getting better data on where we are on/off track to target areas we need to work. Many of the report card ratings have been marked significantly higher because they assume "planned" works have already been ommitted to or completed. Take 'stormwater' in the 'arra' catchment for example marked as "32%-slightly off track" when 'actual' progress is closer to just 1%. Will the mid-strategy review asses if the 'planned' contributions towards the targets are actually likely to be delivered, and if it is in fact appropriate that they count towards this report card? Hi Riv, a great question and suggestion. This is exactly what we will be doing via the mid-term review. We will also re-consider whether planned works should be used to Judge progress. It is important to show where planning is happening but we also don't want to give false impression of progress. Thanks for your thoughts. The outcomes are a bit higher level than identifying the specific projects to achieve them. e.g., add ripartin veg, improve access-and the reinagling of waterway delighting program is one vary of getting there. But costs are high, and very different between projects. Needs to go through the Price abunisation process to be able to commit (which we continue doing) Creek nat	Riv Davey-Greene Gail Hall Gail Hall Geraldine Plas Gail Hall
4 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	https://www.eventrbriec.com.au/e/guided-self-drive-little-river-catchment-tour-tickets- 1516564988787276ffeedosbodestsearch. We appreciate the support of all the agencies who will be involved 17 in this tour. 18 MW investigating changes to the EDCM for sodic and erosive soils? There are a lot of agencies talking 18 about this for some time and some good work done - but changes to engineering guidlines are needed 18 about this for some time and some good work done - but changes to engineering guidlines are needed 18 forgive my ignorance: does MW appreach strategicpartners/landholders to apply for incentives? Or is this 19 funding always based on who comes forward and applies? 19 funding always based on who comes forward and applies? 19 works have already been committed to or completed. Take 'stormwater' in the 'barra Catchment for example marked \$725**. Belight of fact appropriate that they count towards the targets are actually likely to be delivered, and if it is 10 in fact appropriate that they count towards this report card? 19 works have already been committed to or completed. Take 'stormwater' in the 'barra Catchment for example marked \$725**. Belight of fact when 'actually regress is closer to just 15. Will the mellistrategy review asses if the 'planned' contributions towards this report card? 19 works have already been committed to or completed. Take 'stormwater' in the 'barra Catchment for example marked \$725**. Belight of fact when 'actually regress is closer to just 15. Will the milestrategy review asses if the 'planned' contributions towards this report card? 20 in fact appropriate that they count towards this report card? 21 why arent there targets in the HWS to remove xkm of concreted and piped waterways? 22 How expensive are reimaging your creek projects compared to other environmental works? 23 Works as been in play for several years now, is MW following its implementations by local governents 23 MCLS4 has been in play for several years now, is MW following its implementations by local governent	Elyse Kelly-Mitchell Shire Council April Seymore Riv Davey-Greene Riv Davey-Greene Anonymous Attendee Anonymous Attendee Anonymous Attendee Paul Caine Luisa	Soils so like re found across victoria, including Melbourn's greenfield developing areas, such as the north region around kalako and Beerefiges aveil as a sound sulcivary and in the west region around Merrimus and Parawa. Melbourner Water currently investigates soild so lithrough so lesting and analysis to inform Development Service Schemes (DSS) and PSPs on a case by case basis. This helps to inform corridor widths for example in Kalakol creek. Agree that a region-wide approach would be benefical for overarching guidelines. Great question - in the past, we have done proactive recruitment with councils for stormwater and veg opportunities, and private landholders for riparian weg. But mostly relied on who approaches us re: community groups. We are shifting that as we are getting better data on where we are on/off track to target areas we need to work. Many of the report card ratings have been marked significantly higher because they assume "planned" works have already been committed to or completed. Take 'stormwater' in the varrar Catchment for example marked as "32%-slightly off track" when 'actual' progress is closer to just 1%. Will the mid-strategy review asses if the 'planned' contributions towards the targets are actually likely to be delivered, and if it is in fact appropriate that they count towards this report card? Hi Riv, a great question and suggestion. This is exactly what we will be doing via the mid-term review. We will also re-consider whether planned works should be used to Judge progress. It's important to show where planning is happening but we also don't want to give false impression of progress. Thanks for your thoughts. The outcomes are a bit higher level than identifying the specific projects to achieve them - e.g. add ripartian veg, improve access-and the reinaguling / valetowy daylighting program is one way of getting three. But costs are high, and very different between projects. Reeds to get through to its covered under a non-quantified Regional Performance Objective of the Health	Riv Davey-Greene Gail Hall Gail Hall Geraldine Plas Gail Hall
4 4 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	https://www.weitbrite.com.au/e/guided-self-drive-little-rive-catchment-tour-tickets-15165498887273-frie-desbodestsearch. We appreciate the support of all the agencies who will be involved to in this tour. Is MW investigating changes to the EDCM for sodic and erosive soils? There are a lot of agencies talking 18 about this for some time and some good work done - but changes to engineering guidlines are needed Forgive my ignorance: does MW approach strategicpartners/landholders to apply for incentives? Or is this 19 funding always based on who comes forward and applies? Many of the report card ratings have been marked significantly higher because they assume "plasmed" weis tabue already been committed to a completed. The 'stormater' in the 'stars' catedies are assess if the 'planned' contributions towards the targets are actually likely to be delivered, and if it is 10 in fact appropriate that they count towards this report card? Works have already been committed to or completed. Take 'stormater' in the 'stars' they in the case of the 'planned' contributions towards the targets are actually likely to be delivered, and if it is 10 in fact appropriate that they count towards this report card? Wany of the report card ratings have been marked significantly higher because they assume 'planned' works have already been committed to or completed. Take 'stormater' in the 'stars' catedies' works have already been committed to or completed. Take 'stormater' in the 'stars' catedies' works have already been committed to or completed. Take 'stormater' in the 'stars' catedies' out the 'stars' catedies' to be a star of the 'stars' catedies' to be a star of the 'planned' contributions towards the targets are actually likely to be delivered, and if it is 10 in fact appropriate that they count towards this report card? Why arent there targets in the HWS to remove xkm of concreted and piped waterways? Why arent there targets in the HWS to remove xkm of concreted and piped waterways? Why arent there targets in the HWS to remo	Elyse Kelly-Mitchell Shire Council April Seymore Riv Davey-Greene Riv Davey-Greene Anonymous Attendee Anonymous Attendee Anonymous Attendee Paul Caine Luisa	Soils coils are found across victoria, including Melbourn's greenfield developing areas, such as the north region around kalable and Beerefiges are utility. Melbourne Water currently investigates soils coils through so lessing and analysis to inform Development Service Schemes (DSS) and SPS- on a case by case basis. This helps to inform corridor widths for example in Kalabla Coreel. Agree that a region-wide approach would be benefical for overarching guidelines. Great question - in the past, we have done proactive recruitment with councils for stormwater and veg opportunities, and private landholders for riparian weg. But mostly relied on who approaches us re: community groups. We are shifting that as we are getting better data on where we are on/off track to target areas we need to work. Many of the report card ratings have been marked significantly higher because they assume "planned" works have already been committed to or completed. Take 'stormwater' in the varrar Catchment for example marked as "32%-slightly off track" when 'actual' progress is closer to just 1%. Will the mid-strategy review asses if the 'planned' contributions towards the targets are actually likely to be delivered, and if it is in fact appropriate that they count towards this report card? Hi Riv, a great question and suggestion. This is exactly what we will be doing via the mid-term review. We will also re-consider whether planned works should be used to judge progress. It is important to show where planning is happening but we also don't want to give false impression of progress. Thanks for your thoughts. The outcomes are a bit higher level than identifying the specific projects to achieve them - e.g. add riparian veg, improve access-and the reimagning of water way daylighting program is one way of getting there. But cost is ne high, and wey fiderent between projects. Needs to get through the strength of the resulting project where the ball of the healthy Waterways Strategy. A parastralist particular to a controlled likely have requ	Riv Davey-Greene Gail Hall Gail Hall Geraldine Plas Gail Hall Paul Rees
4 4 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	https://www.eventrbrite.com.au/e/guided-self-drive-little-frive-catchment-tour-tickets- 51656989837273fferfededsbodestsearch. We appreciate the support of all the agencies who will be involved 17 in this tour. 18 MW investigating changes to the EDCM for sodic and erosive solis? There are a lot of agencies talking 18 about this for some time and some good work done- but changes to engineering guidlines are needed 18 about this for some time and some good work done- but changes to engineering guidlines are needed 18 about this for some time and some good work done- but changes to engineering guidlines are needed 18 adout this for some time and some good work done- 18 forgive my ignorance: does MW approach strategicpartners/fandholders to apply for incentives? Or is this 18 funding always based on who comes forward and applies? Many of the report card ratings have been marked significantly higher because they assume "planned" works have already been committed to or compileted. Take 'stormwater in the Yarra Catchment for example marked so '\$225- sighty of tract when 'actual' progress is close to just 15 k. Will the milestrategy review assis if the 'planned' contributions towards the targets are actually likely to be delivered, and if it is 18 for fact appropriate that they count towards this report card? 18 may of the report card ratings have been marked significantly higher because they assume "planned" works have already been committed to or compileted. Take 'stormwater in the Yarra Catchment for example marked \$225- sighty for act when 'extuall' progress is close to just 15 k. Will the mile-strategy review asses if the 'planned' contributions towards the targets are actually likely to be delivered, and if it is 18 in fact appropriate that they count towards this report card? 18 why arent there targets in the HWS to remove xkm of concreted and piped waterways? 18 In why arent there targets in the HWS to remove xkm of concreted and piped waterways? 18 How expensive are reimaging your creek projects compared	Elyse Kelly-Mitchell Shire Council April Seymore Riv Davey-Greene Riv Davey-Greene Anonymous Attendee Anonymous Attendee Anonymous Attendee Paul Caine Luisa Jodie Williams (she/her) Wurundleri	Solds so like refound across victoria, including Netbourne's greenfied developing areas, such as the north region around kalable and Beerriggs are vall as sound solution yand him west region around Merminu and Parawa. Mebiourne Water currently investigates solds cold through sold testing and analysis to inform Development Service Schemes (ISS) and SPS on a case by case basis. This helps to inform corridor widths for example in Kalakald creek. Agree that a region-wide approach would be benefical for overarching guidelines. Great question - in the past, we have done proactive recruitment with councils for stormwater and veg opportunities, and private landholders for rigariant rug. But motify relied on who approaches is re: community groups. We are shifting that as we are getting better data on where we are nofif track of to target areas we need to work. Many of the report card ratings have been marked significantly higher because they assume "planned" works have already been committed to or completed. Tale 'stormwater' in the Yarra Catchment for example marked as '235'- slightly off track' when "extual" progress is officer to just 135'. Will the mid-strategr preview asses if the "planned" contributions towards the targets are actually likely to be delivered, and if it is in fact appropriate that they count towards this report card? Hi Rix, a great question and suggestion. This is exactly what we will be doing via the mid-term review. We will also re-consider whether planned works should be used to judge progress. It's important to show where planning is happening but we also don't want to give faste impression of progress. Thanks for your thoughts. The outcomes are a bit higher level than identifying the specific projects to achieve them - e.g. add riparian veg, improve access-and the reinagining of waterway daylighting programs to one way of getting three. But costs are high, and very different between projects. Heeks to go through the Price submission progress to be able to commit (which we continue doing).	Riv Davey-Greene Gail Hall Gail Hall Geraldine Plas Gail Hall Paul Rees
4 4 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	https://www.eventrbriec.com.au/e/guided-self-drive-little-rive-catchment-tour-tickets- 510569898379737effeedsobdestsearch. We appreciate the support of all the agencies who will be involved 17 in this tour. Is MW investigating changes to the EDCM for sodic and erobie solis? There are a lot of agencies talking 18 about this for some time and some good work done - but chinages to engineering guidlines are needed 18 about this for some time and some good work done - but chinages to engineering guidlines are needed 18 about this for some time and some good work done - but chinages to engineering guidlines are needed 18 adout this for some time and some good work done - but chinages to engineering guidlines are needed 18 adout this for some time and some good work done - but chinages to engineering guidlines are needed 18 forgive my ignorance: does MW approach strategicpartners/landholders to apply for incentives? or is this 19 funding always based on who comes forward and applies? 19 funding always based on who comes forward and applies? 19 funding always based on who committed to or completed. Take 'stormwater in the 'arra Catchment for 19 example marked \$2.325 - sightly of tract when 'extually progress is close to just 15. Will the milestrategy 19 funding always based always based contributions towards this report card variety in the 'arra Catchment for 19 amaple marked \$2.325 - sightly of tract when 'extually grospes is close to just 15. Will the milestrategy 19 review asses if the 'planned' contributions towards the targets are actually likely to be delivered, and if it is 19 in fact appropriate that they count towards this report card? 19 funding always the significant they count towards this report card? 20 In fact appropriate that they count towards this report card? 21 How expensive are reimaging your creek projects compared to other environmental works? 22 How expensive are reimaging your creek projects compared to other environmental works? 23 WUS14 has been in play for several years now, is MW following	Elyse Kelly-Mitchell Shire Council April Seymore Riv Davey-Greene Riv Davey-Greene Anonymous Attendee Anonymous Attendee Anonymous Attendee Anonymous Attendee Lulsa Jodie Williams (she/her) Wurundleri Anonymous Attendee	Solds so like re found across victoria, including Nethourne's greenfied developing areas, such as the north region around kalako and Beerriggs aveil as a sound solutiony and him west region around Nethernia and Parawa. Melbourne Water currently investigates social coils through so testing and analysis to inform Development Service Schemes (ISS) and SPS on a case by case basis. This helps to inform corridor widths for example in Kalakol creek. Agree that a region-wide approach would be benefical for overarching guidelines. Great question - in the past, we have done proactive recruitment with councils for stormwater and veg opportunities, and private landholders for rigarian veg. But mostly reled on who approaches us re: community groups. We are shifting that as we are getting better data on where we are norifit track to tape that example in store and the service of the committed or or completed. Take 'stormwater' in the Yarra Catchment for example marked as '235'- slightly off track' when 'actual' progress is observed to sold the mid-strategy review asses if the planned' works have already been committed to or completed. Take 'stormwater' in the Yarra Catchment for example marked as '235'- slightly off track' when 'actual' progress is officed to just 135'. Will the mid-strategy review asses if the planned' contributions towards the targets are actually likely to be delivered, and if it is in fact appropriate that they count towards this report card? Hi Rix, a great question and suggestion. This is exactly what we will be doing via the mid-term review. We will also re-consider whether planned works should be used to judge progress. It's important to show where planning is happening but we also don't want to give faste impression of progress. Thanks for your thoughts. He outcomes are a bit higher level than identifying the specific projects to achieve them - e.g. add riparian veg, improve access-and the reinagining / waterway daylighting programs to one way of getting there. But costs are high, and very differe	Riv Davey-Greene Gail Hall Gail Hall Geraldine Plas Gail Hall Paul Rees

60 One half hour session with EPA re progress on PP taskforce IS NOT genuine collaboration with commuty 61 can we see a copy of the litter action plan?	Helen van den Berg Simon Crawford	Thank you for your comment Hi Simon, The Litter Action Plan is currently in draft form. Once finalised we will share the actions through the Healthy Waterways Strategy newletter. One of the actions is to support Community action in litter reduction. We will work with the community on what this looks like. If you are interested in getting involved in this or to join the newsletter email us at Waterways Strategy/emlebourerwester. com.au	
has there been any work on the styrofoam problem in our waterways ??It is a serious problem evrywhere. 62 especially in new building projects and estates.	Gazza Sturdy	Some amazing work by Yarra River Association mapping the issue and where it is coming from in the lower Yarra area, and inspections and enforcement around construction sites is a focus for EPA and MW in the future. The cumulative impact of many smaller dams in a catchment is significant as it impacts wetershed into the waterways. If someone wishes to construct a dam that is on a designated waterway or meets the requirements under Part 11(4) concerning	Gail Hall
		size, then a construction licence is required to be issued by the licencing authority where the dam is to be sited. If the dam is to be sited away from waterways and is only small, then a construction licence is not generally required by a water authority, in these cases, a planning permitty local council may be required depending on local planning provisions. Council	
		may then refer to MW as the CANA for comment. Under Section 8 of the Water Act, a person has private right to water that can be captured on their property for domestic and stock purpose without a licence. So any water captured in a small dam can be used for this purpose without a licence issued	
		under Section 5.1. If the water is going to be used for commercial/irrigation purposes, then allience would be required to be issued by the local water authority. If the small dams are only for demestic and stock and have been built with correct approvals then there may not be much MW/Coundls can do based on current legislation. To progress this issue literating approvals the first work together to understand the issue and look at solutions, particularly around compliance/enforcement as mentioned. Melbourne Water is responsible for dam licencing under sec 67 of the Act, below, in the Yarra Catchment and Lower Marilymons, Southern Kural Valer have this delegation in the Mornington Penissual area. EVAPS aim to Influence farm dam development. EVAPS aim to Influence farm dam development.	
		Action Plans (EWAPs). EWAPs have been developed as a mechanism to protect the flow regime of drought refuges and ground water dependent ecosystems in unequalized systems (currently 5 tells have been indefinited across Nebbourne). Some of the EWAPs identify the threat of farm dam development and agricultural practices and recommend actions such as: "Limit further farm dam development in upstramn actahements and investigate opportunities for articularising existing farm dams	
Small catchment dams including stock and domestic dams are having significant impacts on the ecological health of catchments. Each catchment has general problems including illegal dams and particular problems How do we integrate the concerns highlighted in the SWS around dams in the balance of the HWS? How do 63 we encourage enforcement and compliance by agencies noting the collaborative approach for the HWS?	. Andrew Kelly	to reduce farm dam impacts — establish referral process for assessing new farm dam impacts on stream flow +implement catchment scale on-farm management to minimise water quality impacts associated with agricultural runoff Gaining support and working with partner agencies, such as Southern Rural Water, will be critical in ensuring these actions are met.	
Should companies be responsible to clear up pollutants on land they occupy if the land has been affected 64 for many decades before they occupied the land?	Merran Price	Should companies be responsible to clean up pollutants on land they occupy if the land has been affected for many decades before they occupied the land? Hi Johanna, He new environment laws in Victoria provide more powers and tougher sanctions. For example, EPA has charged	Merran Price
65 We need tougher fines and consequences for people polluting waterways! think?	Johanna Selleck	the company and three directors allegedly behind a chemical spill that polluted Cherry Creek and Cherry Lake in Altona North in March 2022. The company faces a maximum penalty of up \$1.8 million. Company directors face penalties of up to \$360,000	Gail Hall
os we need (ougher lines and consequences or people pointing water ways) tilink?	Junanna seneck	and possible imprisonment. A key principle of the Act is to ensure that duty holder actions and decisions are based on the best available, relevant and reliable evidence in the circumstances. This includes information that can and should reasonably be considered about the risks of harm arising from activities, and the reasonably practicable ways of preventing or minimising those risks. This information is referred to as the 'state of knowledge's 'aste of knowledge's as dynamic concept that changes over time as knowledge of risks and the ways to control those risks improves. As research and understanding of risks and issues progress, new 'state of knowledge' will be established.	
		EPA has not issued any fines to VicRoads in relation to roadway runoff to waterways as the risks and impacts are not yet well understood. However, there is a lot of active research occurring internationally to investigate the chemical components of tyres	
How many people have been prosecuted under the General Environment Duty resulting from the 170 66 inspections?	James	as an emerging chemical class.	
The Elster Creek catchment is likely the most urbanised sub-catchment in the Melbourne area and subject to major flooding events. Implementation of the Flood Management Plan seems to be way off track. Is MW 67 willing to do what is necessary to bring the Flood Management Plan deliverables back on track.	Paul Caine	H Paul, happy to discuss offline in more detail, but the actions that are off track are so for good reason, including the updating of flood mapping which will incorporate better climate change modelling. Many of the actions are not due for completion until June 2024.	Gail Hall
There are a lot of good Strategies & Action Plans being mentioned by the various Agencies. Can we get a list of websites, key contacts & a master summary website. Many of us are trying to leverage off the good worl 68 on our local programs to avoid re-inventing the wheel. Everthing is so inter-connected:)		Hi Alan, we created a background document for this forum. It lists a number of relevant strategies and plans which could be helpful. We will also share these slides on our website. https://mwhwstorage.blob.core.windows.net/filles/2023-02/HWS%20regional%20forum%20background%20info.pdf	Gail Hall
What has been done about restaurants discharging oil into the drainage network? Is there any 69 educational resource available or effective monitoring system to help LGAs?	Vassiliki Boulomytis	What has been done about restaurants discharging oil into the drainage network? Is there any educational resource available or effective monitoring system to help LGAs? Hi Leonie, I we are currently planning for 23-24 so specific campaigns are not yet determined suffice to say that this is a high	Vassiliki Boulomytis
70 Rachel - do you have plans for future campaigns in other waterways? Are they already determined?	Leonie Gibson	priority given it is part of our 5 year strategic plan. This is in addition to the projects that are underway as I covered in my presentation.	Gail Hall
With Friends of Williamstown Wetlands we tried to map all the gross pollution traps in Hobsons Bay, Information from the local council and MW showed that Information was not up to date and maintenance 71 was very patchy. How will MW and LGAs better collaborate to manage GPTs? 72 Monitoring technology systems for fine grain and realtime data to aid enforcement?	Hobsons Bay Wetlands Centre Glen Dunstan	With Friends of Williamstown Wetlands we tried to map all the gross pollution traps in Hobsons Bay. Information from the local council and MW showed that information was not up to date and maintenance was very patchy. How will MW and LGAs better collaborate to manage GBTs? Hi Glen, is that in relation to Rachel from the EPA's presentation? Hi Spe, this will be quite soon as we recently commenced annual planning for 23-24. Megan Vallas is the Program Manager, Officer for the Protection of the Local Environment	Hobsons Bay Wetlands Centre Gall Hall
73 when do submissions to the EPA for the OPLE program open?	Elvse Kelly-Mitchell Shire Council	and her email address is Megan.Vallas@epa.vic.gov.aulif you'd like to discuss this I have informed Megan of your and Doug's question.	Gail Hall
	-,,,	Hi Doug, this will be quite soon as we recently commenced annual planning for 23-24. Megan Vallas is the Program Manager, Officer for the Protection of the Local Environment	
And how are the OPLE program submissions sought - interested in something focussed on Mullum Mullum 74. Creek	Doug Evans	Hi Doug, this will be quite soon as we recently commenced annual planning for 23-24. Megan Vallas is the Program Manager,	Gail Hall
74 Creek Seems like community has collaborated to many ground works, but what about community's roles and responsibilities in decision-making (plans, projects and strategies preparation)? to what extent it is define	Doug Evans	Hi Doug, this will be quite soon as we recently commenced annual planning for 23-24. Megan Vallas is the Program Manager, Officer for the Protection of the Local Environment and her email address is Megan Vallas Power of the Vallage of the Vallage of the Vallage of the Vallage of Valla	Gail Hall
74 Creek Seems like community has collaborated to many ground works, but what about community's roles and	Doug Evans	H Doug, this will be quite soon as we recertly commenced annual planning for 23-24. Megan Vallas is the Program Manager, Officer for the Protection of the Local Environment and her email address is Megan. Vallas epa vice used in you'd like to discuss this Thave informed your question. During the preparation of the Healthy Waterways Strategy the community was heavily involved in the development of each catchinent vision, performace objectives development and most aspects of the strategy's development. This forum is one method we are using to provide information on the progress of the strategy to date along with the decidized newsletter and	Gall Hall
74 Creek Seems like community has collaborated to many ground works, but what about community's roles and responsibilities in decision-making (plans, projects and strategies preparation)? to what extent it is defined to clearly and communicate with wider community for better progress?	Doug Evans Dineth Perera	Hi Doug, this will be quite soon as we recently commenced annual planning for 23-24. Megan Vallas is the Program Manager, Officer for the Protection of the Local Environment and her email address is Megan Vallas eyes at Equal to the Commence of the Comme	Gail Hall Paul Rees
74 Creek Seems like community has collaborated to many ground works, but what about community's roles and responsibilities in decision-making (plans, projects and strategies preparation)? to what extent it is defined to clearly and communicate with wider community for better progress? 76 Who can we contact to get detailed information on works done in Lower Manibyrnong Parks? Helen 77 The less acronyms for us amateurs in the slide titles the better 78 Trent Griffiths - Paul Caine 0490 292 820 79 Does the Parks Vić, Junior Ranger Minibeast Program collaborate with the Waterwatch Vić, program? 1 Infind this an interesting graphic about the activities/partnerships but don't understand how much reach /	Doug Evans Dineth Perera Helen van den Berg Paul Caine Paul Caine Julia Cirillo MCMC	H Doug, this will be quite soon as we recently commenced annual planning for 23-24. Megan Vallas is the Program Manager, Officer for the Protection of the Local Environment and her email address is Megan Vallas Power of the Vallage Megan Vallas of the Vallage Megan Vallage Vall	Paul Rees Gall Hall
74 Creek Seems like community has collaborated to many ground works, but what about community's roles and responsibilities in decision-making (plans, projects and strategies preparation)? to what extent it is defined 75 clearly and communicate with wider community for better progress? 76 Who can we contact to get detailed information on works done in Lower Maribyrnong Paris? Helen 77 The less acronyms for us amateurs in the slide titles the better 78 Trent Griffiths - Paul Caine 0409 292 820 79 Does the Parks Vic.Junior Ranger Minibeast Program collaborate with the Waterwatch Vic program?	Doug Evans Dineth Perera Helen van den Berg Paul Caine Paul Caine	Hi Doug, this will be quite soon as we recently commenced annual planning for 23-24. Megan Vallas is the Program Manager, Officer for the Protection of the Local Environment and her email address is Megan. Vallas Geya Licey out if you'd like to discuss this I have informed your question. During the preparation of the Healthy Waterways Strategy the community was heavily involved in the development of each catchment vision, performace objectives development and most aspects of the strategy's development. This forum is one method we are using to provide information on the progress of the strategy to date along with the dedicated newsletter and weblite where you can see the report card. In 2023 we will work on more events and methods to involve the community in a way that works to optimize strategy implementation across all partners, including the community. Melbourne Water will contact you Helen to get more detail on what specific works you are thinking about. Great point Paul, we will note this in the post discussion with presenters. Long time no see. Are there any in particular acronyms that we can help you with right now? Thanks for the question Julia, not currently but we are commencing the collaboration discussion with MW, watch this spacel	Paul Rees
74 Creek Seems like community has collaborated to many ground works, but what about community's roles and responsibilities in decision-making (plans, projects and strategies preparation)? to what extent it is defined for clearly and communicate with wider community for better progress? 76 Who can we contact to get detailed information on works done in Lower Maribyrnong Paris? Helen for The less acronyms for us amateurs in the slide titles the better for firth repair can be suffered to the second of the second part of t	Doug Evans Dineth Perera Helen van den Berg Pauf Caine Pauf Caine Julia Cirillo MCMC Anonymous Attendee	Hi Doug, this will be quite soon as we recently commenced annual planning for 23-24. Megan Vallas is the Program Manager, Officer for the Protection of the Local Environment and her email address is Megan. Vallas Equal Vallas is the Program Manager, Officer for the Protection of the Local Environment was the Megan. Vallas Equal Vallas V	Paul Rees Gall Hall Gall Hall
74 Creek Seems like community has collaborated to many ground works, but what about community's roles and responsibilities in decision-making [plans, projects and strategies preparation]? to what extent it is defined to communitate with wider community for better progress? 75 Who can we contact to get detailed information on works done in Lower Maribyrnong Parks? Helen 77 The less acronyms for us amateurs in the slide titles the better 78 Trent Griffiths - Paul Caine -0809.292.820 79 Deet the Parks Vic. Jurior Ranger Minibeast Program collaborate with the Waterwatch Vic program? Intent bins interesting repairs about the activities/parmerships but don't understand how much reach / 80 impact for the investments? 81 Rio here - Porject Officer for the Maribyrnong Valley Connection Project MW capital works projects are a great success in the PWMP MVCP Program supporting Parks Victoria with their restoration activities. In the Maribyrnong Valley most of the vegetation is cricially endangered grassland eco systems. How do we ensure that Melbourne Water are planting grassland species to meet the correct CVF recommendations for their Capital Works projects? For laters and shrubs) and have 82 more funding to support MW and PV on the ongoing maintenance of this?	Doug Evans Dineth Perera Dineth Perera Helen van den Berg Paul Caine Paul Caine Julia Cirillo MCMC Anonymous Attendee Rio-Jade Fairhurst Rio-Jade Fairhurst	Hi Doug, this will be quite soon as we recently commenced annual planning for 23-24. Megan Vallas is the Program Manager, Officer for the Protection of the Local Derivorment and her email address is Megan Avallase with you'd like to discuss this I have informed your question. During the preparation of the Healthy Waterways Strategy the community was heavily involved in the development of each catchment vision, performace objectives development and most aspects of the strategy's development. This forum is one method we are using to provide information on the progress of the strategy to date along with the declicated neveletter and website where you can see the report carl. In 2023 we will work on more events and methods to Involve the community in a way that works to optimise strategy implementation across all partners, including the Community. Melbourne Water will contact you Helen to get more detail on what specific works you are thinking about. Goreat point Paul, we will note this in the post discussion with presenters. Long time no see. Are there any in particular acronyms that we can help you with right now? Trent to follow up this one Thanks of the question Julia, not currently but we are commencing the collaboration discussion with MW, watch this space! Mid-term review is going to include a section on evaluating collaboration? / co-delivery, which will include where partnerships have been an efficient approach. That answers on held for the question! Hi Rib, great question. The suggested exclogical segration class (EVC) for priority healthy Waterways Strategy reaches is matched to the community for that Localized and disconsioned from all connected from all models of the received in a display medical and allow models and exclude or difference cological community from the nearby area will be more appropriate. What this means is that MW can and should use grassland species where this is the historic and/or most anagene propriate community for that Localized or confident or whether the historically occur	Paul Rees Gall Hall Gall Hall
Seems like community has collaborated to many ground works, but what about community's roles and responsibilities in decision-making (plans, projects and strategies preparation)? to what extent it is defined to clearly and communicate with wider community for better progress? 76 Who can we contact to get detailed information on works done in Lower Maribyrnong Parks? Helen To The less acronyms for us amateurs in the silide titles the better Test Term Griffiths - Paul Caine 0409 292 820 79 Does the Parks Vic. Junior Ranger Minibeast Program collaborate with the Waterwatch Vic program? I find this an interesting graphic about the activities/partnerships but don't understand how much reach / 80 impact for the investments? 81 Rio here - Porject Officer for the Maribyrnong Valley Connection Project MW capital works projects are a great success in the PWMP MVCP Program supporting Parks Victoria with their restoration activities. In the Maribyrnong Valley most of the vegetation is crically endangered grassland eco systems. How do we ensure that theorem Water are planting grassland species to meet the cornect EVC recomendations for their Capital Works projects/ (not just trees and shrubs) and have 82 more funding to support MW and Yo on the orgoging maintenance of this? Just wondering who is doing the monitoring of the revegetation outcomes of the floating wetlands and Bass 83 Coast plantings?	Doug Evans Dineth Perera Dineth Perera Helen van den Berg Paul Caine Paul Caine Julia Cirillo MCMC Anonymous Attendee Rio-Jade Fairhurst Rio-Jade Fairhurst	Hi Doug, this will be quite soon as we recertly commenced annual planning for 23-24. Megan Vallas is the Program Manager, Officer for the Protection of the Local Environment and her email address is Megan Vallas eyes vice you stiff you'd like to discuss this I have informed your question. During the preparation of the Healthy Waterways Strategy the community was heavily involved in the development of each catchment vision, performace objectives development and most aspects of the strategy's development. This forum is one method we are using to provide information on the progress of the strategy to date along with the dedicated newsletter and weblite where you can see the report card. In 2023 we will work on more events and methods to Involve the community in a way that works to optimise strategy implementation across all partners, including the community. Melbourne Water will contact you triefen to get more detail on what specific works you are thinking about. Great point Paul, we will note this in the post discussion with presenters. Long time no see. Are there any in particular acronyms that we can help you with right now? Thanks for the question killa, not currently but we are commencing the collaboration discussion with MW, watch this space! Mid-term review is going to Include a section on evaluating collaboration / co-delivery, which will include where partnerships have been an effective approach. That answers one half of the question! Hi Rio, of you have a question. Hi Rio, great question. The suggested ecological vegetation class (EVC) for priority Healthy Waterways Strategy reaches is manched to the communities that historically occurred on-site. Sometime when the waterway has changed over-time (e.g. incised and disconnected from a floodplain) a slightly modified or different ecological community from the nearby area will be incised and disconnected from a floodplain) as lightly modified or different ecological community for the hearthy area will be incised and disconnected from a floodplain as ali	Paul Rees Gail Hall Gail Hall Gail Hall
Seems like community has collaborated to many ground works, but what about community's roles and responsibilities in decision-making (plans, projects and strategies preparation)? to what extent it is defined to clearly and communicate with wider community for better progress? 76 Who can we contact to get detailed information on works done in Lower Maribyrnong Parks? Helen To The less acronyms for us amateurs in the silide titles the better Term of riffiths - Paul Caine 0409 292 820 79 Does the Parks Vic Junior Ranger Minibeast Program collaborate with the Waterwatch Vic program? I find this an interesting graphic about the activities/partnerships but don't understand how much reach / 80 impact for the investments? 81 Rio here - Porject Officer for the Maribyrnong Valley Connection Project MW capital works projects are a great success in the PWMP MVCP Program supporting Parks Victoria with their restoration activities. In the Maribyrnong Valley most of the vegetation is crically endangered grassland eco systems. How do we ensure that belowure Water are planting grassland species to meet the correct EVC recomendations for their Capital Works projects? (not just trees and shrubs) and have 82 more funding to support MW and Yo on the ongoing maintenance of this? Just wondering who is doing the monitoring of the revegetation outcomes of the floating wetlands and Bass	Doug Evans Dineth Perera Dineth Perera Helen van den Berg Paul Caine Paul Caine Julia Cirilio MCMC Anonymous Attendee Rio-Jade Fairhurst Rio-Jade Fairhurst	Hi Doug, this will be quite soon as we recertly commenced annual planning for 23-24. Megan Vallas is the Program Manager, Officer for the Protection of the Local Environment and her email address is Megan Vallas eyes vice you stiff you'd like to discuss this I have informed your question. During the preparation of the Healthy Waterways Strategy the community was heavily involved in the development of each catchment vision, performace objectives development and most aspects of the strategy's development. This forum is one method we are using to provide information on the progress of the strategy to date along with the dedicated newsletter and weblite where you can see the report card. In 2023 we will work on more events and methods to Involve the community in a way that works to optimise strategy implementation across all partners, including the community. Melbourne Water will contact you triefen to get more detail on what specific works you are thinking about. Great point Paul, we will note this in the post discussion with presenters. Long time no see. Are there any in particular acronyms that we can help you with right now? Thanks for the question killa, not currently but we are commencing the collaboration discussion with MW, watch this space! Mid-term review is going to Include a section on evaluating collaboration / co-delivery, which will include where partnerships have been an effective approach. That answers one half of the question! Hi Rio, of you have a question. Hi Rio, great question. The suggested ecological vegetation class (EVC) for priority Healthy Waterways Strategy reaches is manched to the communities that historically occurred on-site. Sometime when the waterway has changed over-time (e.g. incised and disconnected from a floodplain) a slightly modified or different ecological community from the nearby area will be incised and disconnected from a floodplain) as lightly modified or different ecological community for the hearthy area will be incised and disconnected from a floodplain as ali	Paul Rees Gail Hall Gail Hall Gail Hall
Seems like community has collaborated to many ground works, but what about community's roles and responsibilities in decision-making [plans, projects and strategies preparation]? to what extent it is defined to clearly and communicate with wider community for better progress? 76 Who can we contact to get detailed information on works done in Lower Maribyrnong Parks? Helen Trail Tale Caine 2009 228 220 77 The less acronyms for us amateurs in the slide titles the better Trail Trail Caine 2009 228 220 78 Does the Parks Vic Junior Ranger Minibeast Program collaborate with the Waterwatch Vic program? I find this an interesting graphic about the activities/partneships but don't understand how much reach / So impact for the investments? 81 Ro here - Porject Officer for the Maribyrnong Valley Connection Project MW capital works projects are a great success in the PWMP MVCP Program supporting Parks Victoria with their restoration activities. In Mar Anityrnong Valley most of the wegetation is cricially endangered grassland eco systems. How do we ensure that Melbourne Water are planting grassland species to meet the cornect EV recommendations for their Capital works projects? For last trees and shrubs) and have 28 more funding to support MW and PV on the ongoing maintenance of this? Just wondering who is doing the monitoring of the revegetation outcomes of the floating wetlands and Bass 3Coast plantings? I agree, some really fabulous snapshots of works underway! I am curious and this is a big Q. has the existence of the HWS and/or RLG - actually altered (increased? Improved) the waterway commitments of the member orce, so mandrolescy, or improved the deficiences of the relied using remit by being better coordinated. — or is the report card just a way of collating business as usua? We often talk about the period for a report but arrely compare whether this 5' years is overall a more effectivenes of their estimate price to great the coordinated of a report but arrely compare so, in prove the deficiences of their esti	Doug Evans Dineth Perera Helen van den Berg Paul Caine Paul Caine Julia Cirillo MCMC Anonymous Attendee Rio-Jade Fairhurst Rio-Jade Fairhurst Sacha Jellinek April Seymore	Hi Doug, this will be quite soon as we recently commenced annual planning for 23-24. Megan Vallas is the Program Manager, Officer for the Protection of the Local Derivorment and her email address is Megan. Vallas Sepa Aic gov. au if you'd like to discuss this I have informed your question. During the preparation of the Healthy Waterways Strategy the community was heavily involved in the development of each catchment vision, performace objectives development and most aspects of the strategy's development. This forum is one method we are using to provide information on the progress of the strategy to the strategy's development. This forum is one method we are using to provide information on the progress of the strategy to date along with the dedicated newalteter and website where you can see the report carl. In 2023 we will work on more events and methods to Involve the community in a way that works to optimise strategy implementation across all partners, including the community. Melbourne Water will contact you Helen to get more detail on what specific works you are thinking about. Great point Paul, we will note this in the post discussion with presenters. Long time no see. Are there any in particular acronyms that we can help you with right now? Thanks for the question Julia, not currently but we are commencing the collaboration discussion with MW, watch this space! Mid-tern review is going to include a section on evaluating collaboration / co-delivery, which will include where partnerships have been an efficience approach. That asserts on the Inf of the question! Hi Rio, great question. The suggested scological vegetation class (EVC) for priority healthy Waterways Strategy reaches is manched to the communities that historically occurred on-site. Sometime when the waterway has changed over-time (e.g. and propriate. What this means is that MW can and should use grassland species where this is the historic and/or most appropriate. What this means is that MW can and should use grassland species where this is t	Paul Rees Gail Hall Gail Hall Paul Rees Gail Hall
Seems like community has collaborated to many ground works, but what about community's roles and responsibilities in decision-making [plans, projects and strategies preparation]? to what extent it is defined to the community of the projects of the project of the projects of the project	Doug Evans Dineth Perera Helen van den Berg Paul Caine Paul Caine Paul Caine Julia Cirillo MCMC Anonymous Attendee Rio-Jade Fairhurst Rio-Jade Fairhurst Sacha Jellinek April Seymore	His Doug, this will be quite soon as we recently commenced annual planning for 23-24. Megan Vallas is the Program Manager, Officer for the Protection of the Local Devisionment and her email address is Megan Vallas Peav Lego au If you'd like to discuss this I have informed your question. During the preparation of the Healthy Waterways Strategy the community was heavily involved in the development of each catchment vision, performace objectives development and most aspects of the strategy's development. This forum is one method we are using to provide information on the progress of the strategy to date along with the declicated newsletter and website where you can see the report carf. In 2023 we will work on more events and methods to involve the community in a way that works to optimise strategy implementation across all partners, including the Community. Melbourne Water will contact you Helen to get more detail on what specific works you are thrinking about. Goreat point Paul, we will note this in the post discussion with presenters. Long time no see. Are there any in particular acronyms that we can help you with right now? Trent to follow up this one. Thanks for the question halls, not currently but we are commencing the collaboration discussion with MW, watch this space! Thanks for the question halls, not currently but we are commencing the collaboration discussion with MW, watch this space! Thanks for the question halls, not currently but we are commencing the collaboration discussion with MW, watch this space! Thanks for the question halls, not currently but we are commencing the collaboration discussion with MW, watch this space! Thanks for the question hall, not currently but we are commencing the collaboration discussion with MW, watch this space! Thanks for the question hall, not currently but we are commencing the collaboration discussion with MW, watch this space! Thanks for the question hall, not currently but we are commencing the collaboration discussion with MW, watch this space! Thanks	Paul Rees Gail Hall Gail Hall Paul Rees Gail Hall Geraldine Plas
Seems like community has collaborated to many ground works, but what about community's roles and responsibilities in decision-making (plans, projects and strategies preparation)? to what extent it is defined to communicate with wider community for better progress? 76. Who can we contact to get detailed information on works done in Lower Maribymong Parks? Helen 77. The less acronyms for us anateurs in the slide titles the better 78. Trent Griffiths - Paul Caine 0490 292 820 79. Does the Parks V.C. Junior Ranger Minibears Program collaborate with the Waterwatch Vic program? 79. Indies that interesting applic about the activities/parineships but don't understand how much reach / 80 impact for the investments? 81. Rio here - Porject Officer for the Maribymong Valley Connection Project MW capital works projects are a great success in the PWMP MVCP Program supporting Parks Victoria with their restoration activities. Into the Maribymong Valley most of the wegetation is cricially endangered grassiand eco systems How do we ensure that Melbourne Water are planting grassland species to meet the correct CVP excommendations for their Capital Works projects (role up ta trea and shrutus) and have 82 more funding to support MW and PV on the ongoing maintenance of this? 1. Just wondering who is doing the monitoring of the revegetation outcomes of the floating wetlands and Bass 82. Coast plantings? 1. Jagnes, some really fabulous snapshots of works underway! I am curious and this is a big Q. has the existence of the HVS and/or RLG - actually altered (increased? Improved) the waterway commitments of the member orgs, or landholders, or improved the effectiveness of the floating we to subsult the period for a report but rarely compare whether this 5 years is overall an ore effective or productive period than 84 the prior 5 years, let is the IVG making an impact more or less than prior Strategies. Access along waterways is very important especially where there is Crown land water frontage. Challenge overconing very st	Doug Evans Dineth Perera Helen van den Berg Paul Caine Paul Caine Paul Caine Julia Cirillo MCMC Anonymous Attendee Rio-Jade Fairhurst Rio-Jade Fairhurst Sacha Jellinek April Seymore	Hi Doug, this will be quite soon as we recently commenced annual planning for 23-24. Megan Vallas is the Program Manager, Officer for the Protection of the Local Derivorment and her email address is Megan. Vallas-Began Ligorous If you'd like to discuss this I have informed your question. During the preparation of the Healthy Waterways Strategy the community was heavily involved in the development of each catchment vision, performace objectives development and most aspects of the strategy's development. This forum is one method we are using to provide information on the progress of the strategy to date along with the dedicated newaletter and website where you can see the report card. In 2023 we will work on more events and methods to Involve the community in a way that works to optimise strategy implementation across all partners, including the community. Melbourne Water will contact you Helen to get more detail on what specific works you are thinking about. Goreat point Paul, we will note this in the post discussion with presenters. Long time no see. Are there any in particular acronyms that we can help you with right now? Trent to follow up this one Thanks for the question Julia, not currently but we are commencing the collaboration discussion with MW, watch this space! Mid-term review is going to include a section on evaluating collaboration / co-delivery, which will include where partnerships have been an effective approach. That answers one half of the question! Hi Rio, great question. The suggested ecological vegetation class (EVC) for priority Healthy Waterways Strategy reaches is matched to the communities that historically occurred on-site. Sometime when the waterway has changed over-time (e.g. incised and disconnected from a floodplain) a slightly modified or different ecological community from the next year will be a been an efficiency bear will be a been an efficiency bear will be a will be a sking and seeking to answer through the HWS mid-sterm Evaluation, as part of the "Evaluation of collabor	Paul Rees Gail Hall Gail Hall Paul Rees Gail Hall Geraldine Plas
Seems like community has collaborated to many ground works, but what about community's roles and responsibilities in decision-making [plans, projects and strategies preparation]? to what extent it is defined to communicate with wider community for better progress? 76. Who can we contact to get detailed information on works done in Lower Maribymong Parks? Helen 77. The less acronyms for us anatours in the slide titles the better 78. Trent Griffiths - Paul Caine 0400 292 820 79. Does the Parks Vic Junior Ranger Minibaset Program collaborate with the Waterwatch Vic program? 1 Indi this an interesting repairs about the activities/partnerships but don't understand how much reach / 80 impact for the investments? 81. Rio here - Porject Officer for the Maribymong Valley Connection Project MW capital works projects are a great success in the PWMP MVCP Program supporting Parks Victoria with their restoration activities. In the Maribymong Valley most of the vegetation is cricially endangered grassland eco systems. How do we ensure that Melbourne Water are planting grassland species to meet the correct CV recommendations for their Capital Victor's projects (role just trees and shruids) and have 2 more funding to support MW and PV on the ongoing maintenance of this? Just wondering who is doing the monitoring of the revegetation outcomes of the floating wetlands and Bas 3 Caast planting? Lagree, some really fabulous snapshots of works underway! I am curious and this is a big Q. has the existence of the HVS and/or Ric – Scalabily aftered increased? Improved the waterway commitments of the member orgs, or landholders, or improved the effectiveness of their leasting remains by being better coordinated. — or is the report crid just away of collating business as sual? We often talk about the period for a report but rarely compare whether this 5 years is overall an one effective or productive period than 8 at he prior 5 years, le is the HVG and/or Risking an impact more or less than prior strategies. Access along waterwa	Doug Evans Dineth Perera Helen van den Berg Paul Caine Paul Caine Julia Cirilio MCMC Anonymous Attendee Rio-Jade Fairhurst Rio-Jade Fairhurst Sacha Jellinek April Seymore Patrick O'Halloranii LRCL Paul Caine	His Doug, this will be quite soon as we recently commenced annual planning for 23-24. Megan Vallas is the Program Manager, Officer for the Protection of the Local Devisionment and her email address is Megan Vallas Sepa Aircgova ut I you'd like to discuss this I have informed your question. During the preparation of the Healthy Waterways Strategy the community was heavily involved in the development of each catchment vision, performace objectives development and most aspects of the strategy's development. This forum is one method we are using to provide information on the progress of the strategy to date along with the declicated newsletter and most aspects of the strategy's development. This forum is one method we are using to provide information on the progress of the strategy to date along with the declicated newsletter and website where you can see the report car's in 2023 we will work on more events and methods to involve the community in a way that works to optimise strategy implementation across all partners, including the community. Melbourne Water will contact you stelen to get more detail on what specific works you are thinking about. Great point Paul, we will note this in the post discussion with presenters. Long time no see. Are there any in particular acronyms that we can help you with right now? Thanks for the question Alla, not currently but we are commencing the collaboration discussion with MW, watch this spacel will be the post discussion with a presenters and the properties of the question of the question of the question. The suggested ecological vegetation class (EVC) for priority Healthy Waterways Strategy reaches is matched to the community for that location great question. His Rio, group has a question? His Rio, great question. The suggested ecological vegetation class (EVC) for priority Healthy Waterways Strategy reaches is matched to the community of the thiocation of the previous propert	Paul Rees Gail Hall Gail Hall Paul Rees Gail Hall Geraldine Plas Geraldine Plas
Seems like community has collaborated to many ground works, but what about community's roles and responsibilities in decision-making [plans, projects and strategies preparation]? to what extent it is defined to the project of the p	Doug Evans Dineth Perera Helen van den Berg Paul Caine Paul Caine Julia Cirillo MCMC Anonymous Attendee Rio-Jade Fairhurst Rio-Jade Fairhurst Sacha Jellinek April Seymore Patrick O'Halloranif LRCL Paul Caine Johanna Selleck April Seymore Johanna Selleck	Hi Doug, this will be quite soon as we recently commenced annual planning for 23-24. Megan Vallas is the Program Manager, Officer for the Protection of the Local Devisionment and her email address is Megan Vallas/Began Vagova ut I you'd like to discuss this I have informed your question. During the preparation of the Healthy Waterways Strategy the community was heavily involved in the development of each catchment vision, performace objectives development and most aspects of the strategy's development. This forum is one method we are using to provide information on the progress of the strategy to date along with the declicated newsletter and methods to can see the report card. In 2023 we will work on more events and methods to involve the community in a way that works to optimise strategy implementation across all partners, including the community. Melbourne Water will contact you helen to get more detail on what specific works you are thinking about. Great point Paul, we will note this in the post discussion with presenters. Long time no see. Are there any in particular acronyms that we can help you with right nove? Thanks for the question halls, not currently but we are commencing the collaboration discussion with MW, watch this space! Thanks for the question Alla, not currently but we are commencing the collaboration discussion with MW, watch this space! Thanks for the question Alla, not currently but we are commencing the collaboration discussion with MW, watch this space! Thanks for the question Alla accounts and the properties of the question	Paul Rees Gail Hall Gail Hall Paul Rees Gail Hall Geraldine Plas Geraldine Plas Trish Grant Trish Grant
Seems like community has collaborated to many ground works, but what about community's roles and responsibilities in decision-making [plans, projects and strategies preparation]? to what extent it is defined to the project of the p	Doug Evans Dineth Perera Helen van den Berg Paul Caine Paul Caine Julia Cirilio MCMC Anonymous Attendee Rio-Jade Fairhurst Rio-Jade Fairhurst Sacha Jellinek April Seymore Patrick O'Halloranii LRCL Paul Caine Johanna Selleck Johanna Selleck Johanna Selleck Gaza Sturdy Doug Evans	H Doug, this will be quite soon as we recently commenced annual planning for 23-24. Megan Vallas is the Program Manager, Officer for the Protection of the Local Derivorment and her email address is Megan. Vallas-Beya Logova util you'd like to discuss this I have informed your question. During the preparation of the Healthy Waterways Strategy the community was heavily involved in the development of each catchment vision, performace objectives development and most aspects of the strategy's development. This forum is one method we are using to provide information on the progress of the strategy to the strategy is development. This forum is one method we are using to provide information on the progress of the strategy to the strategy is development. This forum is one method we are using to provide information on the progress of the strategy to the strategy with the dedicated newsletter and website where you can see the report card. In 2023 we will work on more events and methods to involve the community in a way that works to optimise strategy implementation across all partners, including the Community. Melbourne Water will contact you Helen to get more detail on what specific works you are thinking about. Goreat point Paul, we will note this in the post discussion with presenters. Long time no see. Are there any in particular acronyms that we can help you with right now? Trent to follow up this one Thanks for the question Julia, not currently but we are commencing the collaboration discussion with MW, watch this space! Mid-tern review is going to include a section on evaluating collaboration / co-delivery, which will include where partnerships have been an efficient approach. That answers one half of the question! Thanks for the question Julia, not currently but we are commencing the collaboration discussion with MW, watch this space! Mid-tern review is going to include a section on evaluating collaboration of discussion with MW, watch ways Strategy reaches in Rich and the partnerships have been an efficient	Paul Rees Gail Hall Gail Hall Gail Hall Paul Rees Gail Hall Geraldine Plas Geraldine Plas Trish Grant Trish Grant Geraldine Plas
Seems like community has collaborated to many ground works, but what about community's roles and responsibilities in decision-making [plans, projects and strategies preparation]? to what extent it is defined to the project of the p	Doug Evans Dineth Perera Helen van den Berg Paul Caine Paul Caine Julia Cirilio MCMC Anonymous Attendee Rio-Jade Fairhurst Rio-Jade Fairhurst Sacha Jellinek April Seymore Patrick O'Halloranii LRCL Paul Caine Johanna Selleck Johanna Selleck Johanna Selleck Gaza Sturdy Doug Evans	Hi Doug, this will be quite soon as we recently commenced annual planning for 23-24. Megan Vallas is the Program Manager, Officer for the Protection of the Local Devisionment and her email address is Megan Vallas/Began Vagova ut If you'd like to discuss this I have informed your question. During the preparation of the Healthy Waterways Strategy the community was heavily involved in the development of each catchment vision, performace objectives development and most aspects of the strategy's development. This forum is one method we are using to provide information on the progress of the strategy to date along with the declicated newsletter and methods to can see the report card. In 2023 we will work on more events and methods to involve the community in a way that works to optimise strategy implementation across all partners, including the community. Melbourne Water will contact you helein to get more detail on what specific works you are thriking about. Great point Paul, we will note this in the post discussion with presenters. Long time no see. Are there any in particular acronyms that we can help you with right nove? Thanks for the question alial, not currently but we are commencing the collaboration discussion with MW, watch this space! Thanks for the question alial, not currently but we are commencing the collaboration discussion with MW, watch this space! Thanks for the question alial, not currently but we are commencing the collaboration discussion with MW, watch this space! Thanks for the question alial, and currently but we are commencing the collaboration discussion with MW, watch this space! Thanks for the question alial, and currently but we are commencing the collaboration discussion with MW, watch this space! Thanks for the question alial and the provide funding of the question of the question of the question? His Rio, group has a	Paul Rees Gail Hall Gail Hall Gail Hall Paul Rees Gail Hall Geraldine Plas Geraldine Plas Trish Grant Trish Grant Geraldine Plas

rested in the Healthy Waterway, Healthy Country Engagement plan when it is available. Patrick O'Halloran# LRCL Great to hear. I recommend of Thank you for your comment Thank you for your comment Thank you for your comment 90 Water as all-living entity - 1 love this 100 Thank You all, it was most informative and enjoyable 101 Its not so bad, I would not have travelled to Melbourne but on Zoom it was great for me. The forum has been recorded and we will share this on the dedicated website - https://healthywaterways.com.au/. We will respond to as many questions as possible and send the responses out to attendees 102 will we get any notes or copies of transcipt from the forum or responses to specific questions? Patrick O'Halloran# LRCL Water retailers are not represented in implementation group but their role is expected in the projects and activities aimed at improving the health of the waterways. It is even more important now with the broad umbrella of integrated water management covering all elements of water cycle for the holistic outcome fo 1 the community. Is it being reconsidered? Hi Suresh, I assume you mean Water retailers are not represented at the Region-wide Leadership group? If so, this has been looked at previously but I will bring it back to the RLG for discussion at the May meeting for reconsideration. It steek, we provide feedback to the State Government on any prospected burn plans and strategic Herberaks and ask them to avoid clearing or burning waterways wherever possible. Strategic firefereaks are generally (but not always) located along ridge lines and other areas sawly from waterways. Suresh (SEW) In the HWS there are some urbanised sub-catchments eg Brushy ck, Koronit ck lower which are in stormwater priority areas and in these all new development should be treated in line with the Sormwater (SW) volume performance objectives. For other urban catchments not in the priority areas: here a none vital start to address in agreats by using the Orber areas' flow targets sist out in the EPA guidance. Over time, with reeneal, these areas should start to improve a SW volume targets are implemented. With respect to amenity there are performance objectives for social values in most sub-catchments. They are mostly around providing access to and along waterways. There are also revegetation performance objectives in most urban sub-catchments. and the driver for these is for both environmental and amenity benefits. Location and design of vegetation in these urban areas is often does in collaboration with local communities. Another example of targets in highly urbanised waterways is the fishway program where there are a number of fishways progosed at the bottom end of systems which while they may be degraded they are still important passages for fish migration. He Darren, can we please catch-up and check that your projects have been captured. We use RVM working groups to gather data along with My schemes and grants. We may be missing a few. It would be good to have you on our stormwater reporting working group if you are interested. How does the strategy support significantly degraded waterways in the urban area to maintain and improve 3 the amenity and biodiversity values of these waterways over time? Or does it not? as MW included GWW's nine stormwater harvesting projects across the Maribyrnong and Werribee 4 catchments? Co-funding by GWW to LGA's providing water for a cooler, greener west. Cheers Darren Darren Couglan THanks Andrew. Paul Rees actually provided that answer. I posted it on his behalf. I will grab this from the chat and put the two of you in touch. Trish Grant. I posted anonymously accidentally. I asked about Westernport as a separate 'catchment'. You 5 mentioned dedicated MP staff and I would appreciate learning more convenor@waterwaysnetwork.com.au Andrew Kelly Baseflow rules for harvesting from waterways or from drains to waterways are about making sure only stormwater is harvested, and not streamflow. Otherwise it's not strictly stormwater harvesting, it's also waterway extraction, and this is likely to exacerbate the reduced baseflow problem that is characteristic of urban waterways. To protect or restore train waterways, we need to both reduce runoff volumes (through harvesting wet weather stormwater flows) and increase baseflows (through infiltration). Stormwater harvesting schemes that reduce baseflow are unlikely to contribute to the goal of improving waterway health. The streamflows we use to determine passing flow thresholds for stormwater harvesting schemes are modeled estimates of pre-development baseflows in urban streams. We use pre-development baseflows, rather than existing baseflows, have use the contributes to the long-term apold restoring virtuals travens. s the baseflow estimator for stormwater harvesting going to be reviewed? The current baseflow rules nean it's almost impossible for large harvesting schemes to be implemented based on the current base estimates of pre-development absentives in utaria sustems. We use pre-venetupinen wascinams, raurer wanning absence the constitutes to the long-terms goal of restoring urban streams.

Managing mocquito fish (Gambusia) is challenging. As per the DELWP 2021 information on managing freshwater pests, the focus on mosquito fish management is preventing increased spread. 6 flow targets. For small, high value waterbodies drying can be used as a management technique but this would be dependent on the other fauna that is present. The waterbody would need to be dried for a significant period which could be disruptive to breeding opties and detrimental to desirable species. I'd like more information on actions to minimise impacts of invasive species in waterways eg: mosquito fish 7 that have outcompeted native fish: This is important in terms of climate change too. dayanthi Johanna - biodiversity and habitat are absolutely on the radar and strongly connected to the vegetation targets. We are already working really hard on these and have a big program to deliver; my take is that the focus areas are more what ISN'T already moving to bring more into the spoilight.

Tent Griffiths (Melbourne Water) to Everyone. And the CMA projects are very boused around biodiversity and habitat. Absolutely recognise there is an extinction crisis, and hopefully better planning and better stormwater management support our waterways and riparian areas supporting blodiversity, isoloniesty undergion sinus call work we do along waterways, so we might take for granted that it is recognised but need to call it out more. Can definitely talk to you more offline about these aspects Johanna Selleck Knox Environment 8 Surely biodiversity and creating habitat should be a focus area? Needed urgently... Society and other groups Hi Helen, I will share the Slido results but they were scored in the background showing that Stormwater came up slightly abo Water for the Environment in the results. It was very close though. As we mentioned in the activity the RLG chose two focus areas in 2022 and so may do the same this year, but it depends on what resourcing is needed for each. The RLG will discuss focus areas for 2021 an ameeting later in February and your comments will be shared then. The survey will also be shared for completion by those that weren't able to use, or complete the activity in the time given. Cheryle water for environment and stormwater were equal. Its seems MW prioritised by their bias Why on 9 earth couldn't both be considered - that's what adaptive planning means! Helen Helen Helen Van de Berg